Mining a cleaner tomorrow

Diggers and Dealers – 1 August 2016

Mike Young, Managing Director and CEO

Vimy Resources Limited








Vimy's vision and mission


'Mining a cleaner tomorrow'

- Approximate offset of 50mt CO₂ per annum, 10% of Australian total
- Best practice fauna "camera trapping" program – developed with Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia; nominated for a Golden Gecko Award
- In-pit tailings disposal most economic, best environmental outcome
- Progressive rehabilitation of backfilled mine pits reduces disturbance footprint



Vimy Resources – a uranium company











Hon. Cheryl Edwardes AM Non-Executive Chairman

Significant networks in Government and in Asia's business community

Former WA State Government Minister holding Ministries of Environment, Labour Relations and Attorney General



Mike Young
CEO and Managing Director
Building mines

Founding Managing Director of BC Iron Ltd Uranium experience in Canada and Australia



Julian Tapp
Executive Director

Expertise in regulatory approvals

Previous Head of Government Relations and Director of Strategy at Fortescue Metals Group



Tony ChamberlainChief Operating Officer

Considerable experience with Australian uranium projects

Extensive operational and capital delivery experience; has previously worked on several uranium projects globally





Ron Chamberlain
CFO and Company Secretary

Finance professional with uranium experience

Significant experience in funding and development of uranium projects
Inaugural CFO for Paladin Energy





Capital structure	
Shares on issue	230 million
Share price (28 July 2016)	\$ 0.32
Market cap	\$ 73.6 million
Cash (30 June 2016)	\$ 4.6 million
Debt drawn (30 June 2016)	\$ 7.5 million
Debt facility available (30 June 2016)	\$ 7.5 million
Options (unlisted)	2.9 million @ 35c (June 2018)
	8.7 million @ 70c (Dec 2018)
	8.7 million @ 154c (Dec 2018)
	1.4 million @ 80c (Dec 2019)

Significant shareholders							
Forrest Family Investments	25%						
Macquarie	19%						
Acorn Capital	19%						
Michael Fewster	16%						
Resource Capital Funds VI ¹	8%						
Directors	3.5%						

Resource Capital Fund VI A\$30m funding package

- \$ 5 million placement
- \$10 million royalty payment (1.15% GSR)
- \$15 million bridging loan

Resource Capital Fund VI ("RCF") is a group of commonly managed private equity funds, established in 1998 with a mining sector specific investment mandate spanning all hard mineral commodities and geographic regions. Since inception, RCF has supported 148 mining companies, with projects located in 47 countries and across 29 commodities. The sixth fund, Resource Capital Fund VI L.P. ("RCF VI") with committed capital of \$2.04 billion, is now being invested. Further information about RCF can be found on its website www.resourcecapitalfunds.com

Forrest Family Investments ("FFI") is an Andrew Forrest entity within the Minderoo Group. Andrew Forrest was the founding chief executive officer of Fortescue Metals Group, the world's fourth largest iron ore producer.

Chinese action on climate change

- Chinese commitments (INDCs*) to UNFCC**
 - Carbon emissions will peak by 2030 or earlier
 - Carbon emissions/GDP lowered by 60-65% compared to 2005 levels (34% already achieved)
 - Increase share on non-fossil fuels in primary energy to ~ 20%
 - Will require > 150 GW by 2030
- Primary energy from non-fossil fuels (in 2014) ~ 11.2%

Source of power	Design capacity	Capacity utilisation factor	Energy generated TWh	Share of primary energy
Hydro	~ 300 GW	~ 40%	~ 1065	8.6%
Wind	~ 115 GW	~ 16%	~ 160	1.3%
Solar	~ 30 GW	~ 11%	~ 30	0.2%
Nuclear	~ 20 GW	~ 72%	~ 125	1.0%

^{*} Intended Nationally Determined Contributions; ** United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change










Photos courtesy of guardianly.com, vice.com and theaustralian.com.au

U market – supply vs demand




Operating nuclear capacity forecast (GWe gross)



- Flat growth
- Clear mismatch
- Requires >\$50 US

- RJL is conservative vs WNA
- 2020E units running or under construction
- Planned = approved and funded but no concrete


Global supply forecast (Mlbs/yr U₃0₈eq)



Uranium price




Global uranium incentive price curve for planned and potential new primary supply



Source: Raymond James, 2015

Mulga Rock Project – Western Australia





U₃O₈ Mineral Resource Estimate




Deposit / Resource	Classification	Cut-off grade (ppm U₃Oଃ)	Tonnes (Mt)	U₃O ₈ (ppm)	U₃O₅ (MIb)
	Λ	lulga Rock East			
Princess	Indicated	150	1.3	690	1.9
Princess	Inferred	150	2.5	380	2.1
Ambassador	Indicated	150	19.8	720	31.5
Ambassador	Inferred	150	10.4	330	7.7
Sub-total			34.1	580	43.2
	Λ	Mulga Rock West			
Emperor	Inferred	150	28.4	450	28.1
Shogun	Inferred	150	4.1	550	4.9
Sub-total			32.5	460	33.0
Total Resource			66.6	520	76.2

This Resource estimate was released to the ASX on 23 June 2016 Please see www.asx.com.au/asxpdf/20160623/pdf/4382qcpt6zk1bv.pdf

Mulga Rock Project location plan




- 76.2 Mlb U₃O₈ Resource,
 >17 year mine life
- 58Mlb U₃O₈ Mineral Inventory (diluted and recovered)
- Remote, arid location with no local inhabitants
 +200km to nearest town
- Deposits covered by granted Mining Leases on vacant Crown land





Deposit location plan showing development envelope






Geology: carbon-rich sediment host rock







Overburden – oxidised sediments

Redox boundary

Uranium-bearing carbonaceous sandstone

- Hosted within deeply weathered sediments comprising carbonaceous sandstone; silt; sandy lignites
- Mostly Uraninite (UO₂) associated with carbonaceous material and lignite – no complex silicate minerals
- Deep weathering = *soft friable rock*
- Deep pit voids to provide tailings disposal and waste dumps


• Mining: simple, established mining methods



- Japanese test pit at Shogun in 1980s shows clear demarcation between carbon-rich mineralisation and oxidised overburden
- Overburden amendable to <u>free dig mining methods</u>
- DFS will optimise bulk mining methods for overburden excavation using coal mining technology
- Strip mining method results in in-pit waste disposal and 'real time' rehabilitation – key environmental factor
- Pit voids to be used for tailings disposal and management key environmental factor



Ambassador East pit February 2016



Close-up in Japanese test pit (1980s) showing carbon-rich ore and free dig nature of material

Geotechnical investigation trenches

VIMY

- Free dig / dozer ripping no blasting
- Geotech confirmed upper horizon highly stable
- Mining rates higher than expected
- Groundwater level as expected
- 130t ore mined; 50t sent to pilot plant





Strip mining method – in-pit crushing and conveying





Rope shovel (back left) loads overburden on to a bridge conveyor for transport to waste dumps. Runs at 10,000 tph.



Mobile spreader/stacker dumping conveyed material.

Ore mining method – selective mining






High selectivity
– ore (black) is
exposed at
Ambassador
West Trench


Mining: large strip mining operation





Proven metallurgy









Upward classifier



Leach tank train



Resin-in-Pulp circuit



Definitive Feasibility Study – activities



Mine design



- Optimisation of resource upgrades (August)
- Strip mining method with highly mechanised fleet
- One third of Mulga Rock's operating cost associated with overburden removal – key element of DFS

Ore reserves



- Conversion Indicated Probable Ore Reserves (August)
- Expecting ~ 30Mlbs
- Underpins initial 10 year mine life
- Further +7 years in Optimised Mineral Inventory

Process piloting



- Beneficiation circuit successfully proves upgrade concept
- Leach and RIP work underway
 - > Work so far verifies ~90% metallurgical recoveries
- Generate final process design criteria for DFS engineering

Definitive Feasibility Study – activities



Engineering



- Project Manager → GR Engineering
- Plant design to produce 3Mlbs U₃O₈
- Assessment of supporting infrastructure
- Expect a +/-10% accurate capital and operating cost estimate

U marketing and project finance



- Active U marketing underway Areva, EDF, Exelon, etc.
 - > Strong interest in Australian U
 - > Social licence important
- Early engagement with banks –
 Soc Gen, C-A, Natixis, etc.
- "Equatorial Principles" important differentiator

First shovel in ground



- Early works include:
 - Mine access road
 - Communications tower
 - Water borefield
 - o Pre-clearing of Princess pit

Mulga Rock Project timeline to first uranium



		20	16		2017
Activity	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
Definitive Feasibility Study					
Public Environmental Review					
Mining approvals					
Works approvals					
Resource update and ore reserve					
Metallurgical pilot test work					
Uranium marketing					
Project construction financing					
Final Investment Decision (FID)					

Project construction	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8
Engineering and procurement								
Civils and site infrastructure								
Plant fabrication								
Pre-strip and ore mining								
Commissioning								
Hand-over and first U ₃ O ₈								

Benefits to Western Australia

- New jobs created in WA ~ 490 full-time employees
- New business for existing service industries and suppliers, particularly in Kalgoorlie
- Royalties paid to State* ~ A\$15m pa
- Payroll tax paid to State ~ A\$4m pa
- Mostly high value-adding / skilled jobs
- Exports ~ A\$300m p.a.
- Vimy's elevation to an ASX200 company headquartered in Perth
- Very low operating environmental impact

^{*} Assumes US\$65/lb U₃O₈

Investment summary



Globally significant uranium deposit
- size and scale

Excellent commodity opportunitygrowing uranium demand

People with track record and vision


"Non-stop" development schedule


Strong balance sheet



For more information on the uranium industry









See Vimy Resources website – Useful links http://www.vimyresources.com.au/index.php/2016-06-16-01-41-27/uranium-information



Disclaimer and statement of confirmation



The purpose of this presentation is to provide general information about Vimy Resource Limited (**Vimy**); it constitutes a professional opinion only and is given in good faith. It is not recommended that any person makes any investment decision in relation to Vimy based on this presentation. To the extent that this presentation contains "forward-looking statements" they are only subjective predictions and are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties which could cause outcomes to differ materially from those expressed, implied or projected in such forward-looking statements. No representation or warranty, express or implied, is made by Vimy that the material contained in this presentation is accurate, reliable, relevant or complete, or will be achieved or prove to be correct.

To the extent permitted by law, Vimy and its officers, employees, related bodies corporate, agents and advisers, disclaim any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the material contained in this presentation and excludes all liability whatsoever (including in negligence) for any loss or damage which may be suffered by any person as a consequence of any information in this presentation or any error or omission therefrom. Vimy accepts no responsibility to update any person regarding any inaccuracy, omission or change in information in this presentation or any other information made available to a person nor any obligation to furnish the person with any further information. All amounts expressed are in \$A unless stated otherwise.

Pre-feasibility Study statement

The Company advises that the Pre-feasibility Study referred to in this presentation is based on lower-level technical and preliminary economic assessments, and does not yet support a statement of Ore Reserves or to provide assurance of an economic development case at this stage, or to provide certainty that the conclusions of the PFS will be realised. The Production Target referred to in this announcement is partly based on Inferred Mineral Resources (which comprise approximately 28% of the Inferred Resource mined during the project payback period of 7 years at the capital breakeven uranium price). There is a low level of geological confidence associated with the Inferred Mineral Resources and there is no certainty that further exploration work will result in the determination of Indicated or Measured Mineral Resources or that the production target or preliminary economic assessment will be realised.

Statement of confirmation by Company

The Company confirms that all the material assumptions underpinning the information in the Pre-feasibility Study release of 17 November 2015 continue to apply and have not materially changed.

The Resource Estimate referred to above was announced to the market by the Company on 23 June 2016. The Company is not aware of any new information, or data, that affects the information in that announcement and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates continue to apply and have not materially changed.



Appendix

Biographies - Board and key management Resource Estimates and tables Pre-feasibility Study project metrics Photos

• •

People: The Board





The Hon. Cheryl Edwardes AM – Non-Executive Chairman

- Former WA State Government Minister holding Ministries of Environment, Labour Relations and Attorney General
- Providing statutory and approvals advice to Atlas Iron, Hancock Prospecting, FTI Consulting
- Significant networks in State and Federal Government and broad experience and networks in China's business community



Mike Young - Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director

- Founding Managing Director of BC Iron Limited from 2006 2013. BC Iron went from first drill hole to first ore on ship in under four years and now exports 6 Mtpa of iron ore from a JV with FMG (75:25 BCI:FMG)
- Experienced mining consultant Resource modelling and estimation with Golder Associates
- Founding director of uranium developer Bannerman Resources and currently non-executive Chairman of Cassini Resources
- Studied at Queens University, Ontario and worked on Uranium exploration projects and mines in Canada



Julian Tapp - Executive Director

- Head of Government Relations and Director of Strategy at Fortescue Metals Group until 2012 with special responsibility for expediting approvals
- Trained as an economist in London, lectured at a number of universities including the London School of Economics
- Chief Economist for Ford Europe, BP and Rover Group before transitioning into role as Director, New Business Development



David Cornell - Non-Executive Director

- Founding director of the Element Group with significant commercial and financial experience in the mining and oil and gas sectors
- Previously an associate director at the LinQ group which managed Australia's largest listed resource fund
- Specialist in providing corporate and professional services to both WA junior explorers and international mining companies



Andy Haslam - Non-Executive Director

- Highly qualified mining executive, with significant experience in project development and operations for both miners and mining contractors
- Currently Non-Executive Director of BC Iron and industry representative on WA Quarry Managers' Board of Examiners
- Holds Diplomas in Mining and Extractive Industries Management from University of Ballarat, Victoria and SEM College in Western Australia



Mal James - Non-Executive Director

- Resources company director with extensive background in finance and accounting
- Very strong focus on uranium, developed over ten years at Peninsula Energy as Executive Director responsible for daily operations through to finance
- Holds a Bachelor of Business (Accounting) from RMIT Melbourne, Fellow of Australian Institute of Company Directors and is a Member of AusIMM

People: The Team





Ron Chamberlain - Chief Financial Officer and Company Secretary

- Financial professional with over 25 years' experience in resources companies exploration through to mine closure
- Significant experience with uranium companies as inaugural CFO for Paladin Energy and Extract Resources
- Bachelor of Commerce from UWA and Fellow of Chartered Accountants Australia and New Zealand



Tony Chamberlain – Chief Operating Officer

- Involved in a number of uranium projects in Australia, Asia, Africa and Eurasia
- Extensive operational and process engineering experience with WMC and BHP Billiton projects
- Delivered pre-feasibility and feasibility studies and process design packages for Goldfields, Barrick, Paladin and Mega Uranium



Xavier Moreau – Geology and Exploration

- General Manager of Geology and Exploration at Vimy since February 2010
- Valuable uranium project management experience with Areva and U3O8 Limited
- Extensive experience in uranium and gold exploration with Areva and Afmeco with significant time spent on Goldfields projects
- Educated in France and Canada and holds an Honours degree in Geology



PFS optimised diluted mineral inventory — November 2015



Deposit / pits	Ore tonnes (Mt)	Waste tonnes (Mt)	U ₃ O ₈ (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	Ni (ppm)	Co (ppm)		
Mulga Rock East									
Princess	3.7	54	450	460	815	330	175		
Ambassador	28.0	378	550	245	890	475	220		
Sub-total	31.7	432	535	270	885	460	215		
			Mulga Rock V	Vest					
Emperor	14.3	319	500	-	-	-	-		
Shogun	5.8	69	445	-	-	-	-		
Sub-total	20.1	388	485	-	-	-	-		
Total inventory	51.8	820	515	270	885	460	215		

The Pre-feasibility Study was released to the ASX on 17 November 2015. See: http://www.asx.com.au/asx/statistics/displayAnnouncement.do?display=pdf&idsId=01685657

Mulga Rock maiden Ore Reserve



Deposit / Resource	Classification	Cut-off grade (ppm U ₃ O ₈)	Tonnes (Mt)	U ₃ O ₈ (ppm)	Total metal U ₃ O ₈ (Mlb)			
Mulga Rock East								
Princess	Probable	150	1.3	640	1.8			
Ambassador	Probable	150	13.9	660	20.2			
Total Reserve			15.2	660	22.1			

- Mulga Rock Maiden Ore Reserve announced to ASX 30 March 2016
- Based on work carried out during PFS
- Approximately 97% of Indicated Resources in PFS mine schedule has been converted to Ore Reserves



This Reserve estimate was released to the ASX on 30 March 2016. Please see http://www.asx.com.au/asxpdf/20160330/pdf/436587mktclpz4.pdf

Pre-feasibility Study results



We are proud that Mulga Rock will deliver enough uranium fuel to offset the equivalent of 50Mt of CO₂ emissions per year or 10% of Australia's total CO₂ emissions

A flat exchange rate of A\$1.00 : \$US\$0.7019 and a flat uranium price of US\$65/lb U₃O₈ have been assumed across the entire project life for the Pre-feasibility Study.

Base metal prices are based on LME spot prices as of 1 September on a Real LOM flat rate basis.

Life of Mine (LOM)	17.1 years
Nameplate Run-of-Mine	2.65 Mtpa
ROM uranium grade (Years 1-10)	601 ppm U ₃ O ₈
ROM uranium grade (LOM)	515 ppm U_3O_8
Average strip ratio LOM (waste tonne / ore tonne)	15.8
Overall metallurgical recoveries	
Uranium	85.3%
Copper	35%
Zinc	48%
Nickel	43%
Cobalt	38%
Annual production – uranium as U ₃ O ₈	3.00 Mlbs U ₃ O ₈
Process plant and infrastructure capital costs	US\$254M
Mine pre-strip cost (additional to process plant capital)	US\$33.6M
Uranium Opex Years 1 - 10 (after by-product credits)	US\$27.77 / lb U ₃ O ₈
Uranium Opex Years 1 - 10 (before by-product credits)	US\$31.47 / lb U ₃ O ₈
Uranium Opex LOM (after by-product credits)	US\$31.32 / lb U ₃ O ₈
Uranium Opex LOM (before by-product credits)	US\$33.89 / lb U ₃ O ₈

		Uranium price (US\$/lb U₃O₅)						
Item	Unit	US\$49.83/lb	US\$55.00/lb	US\$65.00/lb	US\$75.00/lb			
NPV ₁₀	A\$ M	0	146	431	716			
IRR	%	10	15.7	25.1	33.6			
Payback	Years	7.2	5.6	3.9	3.0			





Regional landscape








Core drilling - October 2015





East GIT – January 2016







East GIT - January 2016





East GIT - January 2016





East GIT - January 2016