TITAN MINERALS LTD

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

26 March 2025

HIGH GOLD RECOVERIES ACHIEVED FROM DYNASTY METALLURGICAL TESTWORK

Key Highlights

- **Initial metallurgical testwork on typical sulphide ore from the Dynasty Gold Project has shown that conventional and commonly used gold industry extraction processes can achieve >90% gold recoveries.**
- **Conventional flotation on P_{80} of 75µm grind delivered recoveries in rougher concentrate of 94% gold and 95% silver from the 2.13 g/t Au, 16 g/t Ag composite sample. A rougher concentrate (13.9% of feed mass) was produced at a grade of 25g/t gold and 164g/t silver.**
- **Oxidation by autoclave and cyanidation recovered 97% of the gold in concentrate, enabling an overall recovery of 91% gold using conventional cyanidation leaching of the oxidised rougher concentrates. Silver recoveries can be enhanced with additional calcination steps.**
- **Initial testwork has confirmed the suitability of Dynasty ores to produce gold doré from a complete onsite flowsheet. It has also highlighted an opportunity to produce an intermediary clean (low arsenic and impurities) saleable concentrate (10% of feed mass) with a grade of ~24g/t gold and ~180g/t silver from higher grade ores from a cleaner concentrate stage. This concentrate could be sold directly to third party smelters providing a simple, early staged approach to development of higher-grade ores.**
- **Gravity recoverable gold is <10%, with a gravity circuit not required for the processing of Dynasty ores.**

Titan's CEO Melanie Leighton commented:

"It's satisfying to show that conventional gold processing techniques can be applied to all Dynasty ores with excellent overall recoveries. This is a significant milestone and a key de-risking step as we consider the commencement of development studies at the end of our current resource drilling program."

"The Dynasty Gold Project represents a significant pre-development opportunity, boasting a large-scale (and growing) 3.1Moz gold resource, set to take advantage of the current and future buoyant gold price environment."

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

26 March 2025

Titan Minerals Limited (**Titan** or **the Company**) (**ASX:TTM**) is pleased to provide a further update on our initial Metallurgical testwork programs on our 100% held Dynasty Gold Project (**Dynasty**) in southern Ecuador.

Further to our announcement released 19 February 2025 where we advised that the Dynasty oxide ores could achieve 88% gold recoveries from conventional cyanide leaching methods, we have now completed a first round of diagnostic metallurgical testwork on the sulphide ore component which contains the bulk of our ounces at the Dynasty Project.

Expert metallurgist, Mr Ivan Hunter of Scott Dalley Franks Pty Ltd (**SDF**) was engaged to manage the metallurgical testwork program for the Dynasty Gold Project. The testwork was overseen by Auralia Metallurgy Laboratory (**Auralia**) in Perth, Western Australia.

Metallurgical samples were selected based on their spatial location or geological domain, with Cerro Verde (CV) and Iguana (IG) selected as the study areas for preliminary metallurgical testwork on sulphide ore. Subsamples from recently drilled diamond holes were selected for the formation of composite samples. Selected drill core material was mixed and homogenised to obtain final representative composite samples.

Dynasty mineralisation is hosted predominantly within epithermal veins with varying amounts of sulphide gold bearing minerals. Gold and silver mineralisation in the sulphide ore domain typically occurs in association with pyrite and arsenopyrite.



Figure 1. Dynasty Gold Project showing locations of metallurgical samples in relation to Mineral Resources and epithermal veins

For this initial round of diagnostic metallurgical testwork, a single master sulphide (fresh ore) composite[1] was prepared to provide sufficient sample for flotation and downstream pre-oxidation testwork. The composite was a combination of two Cerro Verde samples (~75% by mass) and one Iguana sample (~25% by mass), with an estimated head assay grade of 2.22 g/t Au, 20.4 g/t Ag.

The Company is pleased to report that this initial metallurgical testwork for Dynasty sulphide ore has a clear and conventional metallurgical path to achieving overall recoveries of 91% for gold and silver. Further, it shows that with the addition of flotation and oxidation stages, to a plant designed for the processing of oxide ores, a streamlined continuous process flowsheet can be established for all ore domains.

Initial outcomes from the diagnostic metallurgical testing also reveal areas where recoveries can be enhanced with process optimisation. It has also provided insights as to how geo-metallurgical modelling and close management of sulphur to gold ratios, could enable an intermediary premium product for direct sale to third party smelters if desired.

These results are considered highly encouraging, providing a significant derisking for the Dynasty Gold Project.

The table below provides diagnostic outcomes from the composite samples tested.

Table 1. Gold and silver recovery estimates for Dynasty ore domains

Domain		OXIDE	SULPHIDE	
Input Parameters	**Units**	**Leach**	**Float - Smelter Sales**	**Float Concentrate-Oxidation**
Grind P_{80}	µm	106	75	75
OVERALL RECOVERY				
Gold	%	87.0	91.4	90.7
Silver	%	75.0	93.8	90.7
Flotation Concentrate Grades			**Sale - Smelt**	**Oxidation Feed**
Mass	%		9.3	13.9
Gold	g/t		36	25
Silver	g/t		232	164
Sulphur	%		38	26
Arsenic	%		2.4	1.6

Flotation Testwork

A standard rougher flotation set-up for recovery of gold and silver was ground to P80 of 106 µm. These rougher flotation simulations achieved recoveries of >92% of gold and >97% of silver in the composite sample.

Standard rougher flotation was also performed at a coarser grind of P_{80} of 150µm, achieving similar recoveries the as P_{80} of 106µm grind. The increase in primary grind did not cause any significant loss in gold or silver recovery.

The rougher concentrate has potential to be substantially upgraded, and a cleaner stage should improve the concentrate grades, with or without a regrind stage. Future testwork will assess this opportunity.

[1] This composite was based on sample availability and not typical representativity of head grades

Table 2. Rougher Flotation Performance at various grind sizes

Comp ID	Grind Size	Weight	Gold		Silver	
	µm	%	Au g/t	% recovery	Ag g/t	% recovery
CVF001	106	12.8	13.4	94.9	260.7	98.7
CVF001	150	14.0	13.1	93.0	309.0	98.0

Master composite sample DFMC24 was created from a mix of Cerro Verde (75%) and Iguana (25%) composite samples. A 25kg sample was prepared to supply 1.5 kg of flotation concentrate for the sighter pre-oxidation testwork.

A rougher flotation test at a P80 of 75 µm followed by a cleaner flotation test on a regrind of concentrate at P80 of 53 and 38 µm were also completed. All rougher flotation tests delivered consistently high recoveries of gold (>89%) and silver (>95%) to concentrate, suggesting that the primary grind size had a limited effect on the gold and silver and recovery to concentrate and that scope for additional optimisation work could improve this outcome.

The rougher concentrate sample was approximately 13% of the initial mass. The overall gold in concentrate for the rougher concentrate averaged 15.8 g/t gold and 144 g/t silver, noting that the sulphur to gold ratio (S:Au) has a controlling impact on the final flotation concentrate grade. This indicates that geo-metallurgical modelling and the understanding variability of S:Au ratios across the deposit will be an important step in optimising ore processing.

Oxidation Testwork

Oxidation testwork was carried out on the rougher concentrate under the following modest conditions:

- 220 degrees Celsius
- 700 kPag oxygen overpressure
- 12 and then 8% w/w solids feed density

Oxidation results conclude that the oxidative conditions were very effective, achieving >99% oxidation in 120 minutes and in some cases >95% oxidation in just 60 minutes. Additional treatment tests applying the hot cure and/or the lime boil processes were also completed to evaluate the effect on lime/limestone consumption on silver recovery.

Leaching Testwork

The residues from 5 oxidation trials were subjected to standard cyanidation tests with outcomes concluding that gold recoveries of >96% in the oxidised concentrate could be achieved. It also suggests that to maximise silver recoveries a post-oxidation process using a short duration hot cure followed by a lime boil was required. Given the limited potential overall impact that silver extraction and sales will have on the Dynasty Project economics, the processes used to extract silver will require further consideration in process plant modelling and project optimisation.

Gravity Concentration

Gravity concentration methods on the composite sample were also tested using a laboratory-scale Knelson concentrator at P80 of 150µm to determine the gravity gold component. It was determined that there is minimal gravity gold in sulphide ore, with similar results also demonstrated in the oxide ores. No further work

in this area is considered necessary, as a gravity concentration circuit is not considered a requirement for optimising gold and silver recoveries.

Conclusions

Metallurgical testwork and results to date have proven very encouraging and have been able to establish concepts for a conventional flowsheet for the recovery of gold and silver, also supplying a considerable amount of data for process design.

However, more testwork is required to support optimal plant design and to ensure that work performed to date is representative. Further test work should include all aspects of processing inclusive of comminution, leaching, flotation and oxidative treatment to further strengthen and optimise the results.

The Company looks forward to providing further information as additional metallurgical testwork is conducted and results are received.

ENDS-

Released with the authority of the Board.

Contact details:

Investor Relations: Australia

Melanie Leighton
Chief Executive Officer
E: melanie@titanminerals.com.au
Ph: +61 8 6555 2950

Jane Morgan
Investor & Media Relations
E: jm@janemorganmanagement.com.au
Ph: + 61 405 555 618

Follow us on:

www.linkedin.com/company/titan-minerals-ltd

www.twitter.com/MineralsTitan

www.titanminerals.com.au

For further information on the company and our projects, please visit www.titanminerals.com.au

About the Dynasty Gold Project

The Dynasty Gold Project is a resource/ emerging development stage project comprising five contiguous concessions and is 139km^2 in area. Three of these concessions received Environmental Authorisation in 2016 and are fully permitted for all exploration and small-scale mining activities.

Exploration work at the Dynasty Gold Project has outlined an extensive zone of epithermal veining over a nine kilometres strike and two kilometres in width. There is also considerable potential for porphyry copper mineralisation as identified by surface mapping, trenching, and drilling at the Kaliman prospect and by surface geochemistry and mapping at the Cola and Gisell prospects.

Table 7. Dynasty Mineral Resource Estimate, July 2023

Dynasty Project	Indicated					Inferred					Total				
	Tonnes (M)	Grade (g/t)		Contained Metal (Moz)		Tonnes (M)	Grade (g/t)		Contained Metal (Moz)		Tonnes (M)	Grade (g/t)		Contained Metal (Moz)	
		Au	Ag	Au	Ag		Au	Ag	Au	Ag		Au	Ag	Au	Ag
Cerro Verde	15.17	2.01	13.51	0.98	6.59	13.63	2.15	12.44	0.94	5.45	28.80	2.08	13.00	1.92	12.04
Iguana	2.41	2.36	16.08	0.18	1.25	8.52	1.92	13.00	0.53	3.56	10.93	2.02	13.68	0.71	4.81
Trapichillo	0.05	1.89	9.28	0.00	0.01	2.89	3.83	39.80	0.36	3.70	2.94	3.80	39.31	0.36	3.71
Papayal	0.46	3.04	48.24	0.05	0.72	0.41	6.24	53.80	0.08	0.71	0.87	4.54	50.85	0.13	1.43
Total	**18.09**	**2.09**	**14.73**	**1.21**	**8.57**	**25.44**	**2.33**	**16.40**	**1.90**	**13.41**	**43.54**	**2.23**	**15.70**	**3.12**	**21.98**

Notes: 1. Reported ≥ 0.5 g/t Au. 2. Some rounding errors may be present. 3. Tables are rounded as the final steps. Totals are not calculated after rounding. 4. M – million. Oz- ounce. g/t – grams per tonne.



Figure 5. Titan Minerals southern Ecuador Projects, peer deposits and surrounding infrastructure

Competent Person's Statements

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on and fairly represents information compiled by Ms Melanie Leighton, who is an experienced geologist and a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Ms Leighton is a full-time employee at Titan Minerals and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity which she is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the JORC 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources, and Ore Reserves'. Ms Leighton consents to their inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

With respect to estimates of Mineral Resources, announced on 6 July 2023, (MRE Announcement) the Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially effects the information in the MRE Announcement and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates continue to apply and have not materially changed.

Forward-looking Statements

This announcement may contain "forward-looking statements" and "forward-looking information", including statements and forecasts. Often, but not always, forward-looking information can be identified by the use of words such as "plans", "expects", "is expected", "is expecting", "budget", 'outlook", "scheduled", "estimates", "forecasts", "intends", "anticipates", or "believes", or variations (including negative variations) of such words and phrases, or state that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "might", or "will" be taken, occur or be achieved. Such information is based on assumptions and judgments of Titan's directors and management regarding future events and results.

The purpose of forward-looking information is to provide the audience with information about Titan's expectations and plans. Readers are cautioned that forward-looking information involves known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Titan and/or its subsidiaries to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking information. Forward-looking information and statements are based on the reasonable assumptions, estimates, analysis and opinions of Titan directors and management made in light of their experience and their perception of trends, current conditions and expected developments, as well as other factors that Titan directors and management believe to be relevant and reasonable in the circumstances at the date such statements are made, but which may prove to be incorrect. Titan believes that the assumptions and expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements and information are reasonable.

Readers are cautioned that the foregoing list is not exhaustive of all factors and assumptions which may have been used. Titan does not undertake to update any forward-looking information or statements, except in accordance with applicable securities law.

APPENDIX A

Table 1. Dynasty metallurgical composite sample details

Domain	Composite Sample ID	Sample Type	Hole Number	Easting	Northing	RL	From	To	Length	Weight	Au	Ag	Pb	Zn	Cu	As	S
				m	m	m	m	m	m	kg	g/t	g/t	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%
Cerro Verde Fresh	**CVF001**	Core	CVD003	621412	9543262	1221	134	135	1.00	2.33	1.25	6.76	301	1090	114	2686	2.25
		Core	CVD003	622005	9543995	1241	187	188	0.95	2.53	3.26	5.46	66	102	36	4592	3.75
		Core	CVD008	621962	9544002	1230	129	130	0.99	2.72	3.13	115.80	410	1141	1339	4811	5.36
		Core	CVD009	621903	9543984	1228	95	96	1.21	2.98	0.68	3.30	55	51	449	916	2.62
		Core	CVD085	621963	9544002	1230	120	121	0.96	2.4	1.81	86.33	466	1607	91	5581	3.12
		Core	CVD011	621690	9543801	1328	181	182	0.88	2.14	1.34	39.77	723	791	95	573	3.94
		Core	CVD088	622003	9543994	1241	112	113	1.00	2.24	3.68	39.34	913	2627	227	7844	3.56
		Core	CVD088	622003	9543994	1241	157	157	0.64	1.29	2.51	21.26	440	759	126	3121	2.33
		Core	CVD075	621622	9543654	1354	245	246	1.08	2.12	3.11	39.80	442	497	144	1199	4.95
Total/ Average									**8.72**	**20.75**	**2.26**	**40.80**	**403**	**941**	**325**	**3492**	**3.59**
Cerro Verde (Brecha - Comanche) Fresh	**CVF002**	Core	CVD019	621129	9543315	1349	172	173	1.00	2.08	1.47	2.25	60	80	43	524	2.54
		Core	CVD022	621241	9543357	1308	169	170	1.02	2.07	1.58	14.37	927	1044	95	2244	3.52
		Core	CVD029	621164	9543356	1340	177	178	0.93	1.72	3.91	33.74	603	1652	138	1222	3.26
		Core	CVDD23-102	621197	9543453	1302	222	223	1.00	1.64	4.35	25.48	1296	2324	410	1072	3.21
		Core	CVD095	621201	9543360	1324	185	186	0.93	1.89	0.95	6.08	472	841	151	638	3.17
		Core	CVD093	621318	9543181	1272	167	168	1.00	2.29	1.27	2.76	44	126	117	444	3.08
		Core	CVD077	621241	9543358	1308	243	244	0.98	1.68	0.82	6.51	153	426	90	725	3.44
		Core	CVD089	621175	9543320	1342	195	196	1.28	2.57	4.44	30.07	530	983	100	1615	2.96
		Core	CVD099	621374	9543180	1259	154	155	1.00	2.1	0.99	7.71	98	418	140	359	2.53
		Core	CVD081	621241	9543358	1308	203	204	0.99	1.7	4.25	9.90	118	83	138	651	3.21
Total/ Average									**10.13**	**19.74**	**2.38**	**13.84**	**417**	**766**	**136**	**965**	**3.07**
Iguana Fresh	**IGF001**	Core	IGD012	622866	9544853	1112	207	208	1.00	1.75	0.64	3.95	58	84	62	2840	5.93
		Core	IGD001	622842	9544825	1106	162	163	1.02	1.85	2.06	15.18	63	126	66	5167	4.75
		Core	IGD003	622811	9544761	1115	268	269	1.03	2.46	2.05	6.65	21	42	64	7425	7.61

		Core	IGD004	622715	9544699	1103	117	118	1.00	2.26	2.40	12.02	68	148	78	5741	4.09
		Core	IGD018	622799	9544829	1099	262	263	1.00	2.42	0.75	2.31	34	148	46	3294	4.86
		Core	IGD013	622440	9544682	1018	126	127	1.19	2.51	6.29	74.28	2583	5808	573	7197	3.33
		Core	IGD008	622824	9544760	1118	192	193	0.92	2	1.38	12.63	131	131	96	3569	4.87
		Core	IGD014	622889	9544724	1091	85	86	1.28	2.34	0.78	1.59	19	135	56	7953	6.94
		Core	IGD021	622390	9544674	1008	172	173	1.04	2.49	3.07	11.89	152	141	84	10000	4.32
Total/ Average									**9.48**	**20.08**	**2.25**	**16.39**	**382**	**831**	**132**	**6098**	**5.18**
Cerro Verde Oxide	**CVO001**	Core	CVD018	621974	9543817	1318	26	27	1.00	2	4.86	11.09	643	302	51	5757	0.03
		Core	CVD014	621972	9543752	1352	37	38	1.03	2.05	3.74	32.83	305	167	57	6389	0.30
		Core	CVD092	621963	9543972	1243	62	62	0.63	1.26	4.49	92.10	2140	498	416	1715	0.04
		Channel	CVC002	622174	9543982	1224	2	3	1.15	3.08	2.53	11.75	110	582	167	5270	0.55
		Channel	CVC001	621922	9543921	1240	21	22	1.40	3.58	2.28	12.35	107	100	49	4982	0.16
		Core	CVD013	621127	9543316	1349	79	80	1.00	1.82	0.81	1.77	48	103	89	1218	0.01
		Core	CVD027	621242	9543358	1308	50	51	0.95	1.99	1.40	9.47	130	570	214	2248	0.03
		Channel	CVC017	621216	9543262	1298	5	7	1.70	4.48	1.56	21.80	178	255	66	195	0.31
Total/ Average									**8.86**	**20.26**	**2.48**	**20.02**	**319**	**305**	**114**	**3376**	**0.22**

Table 2. DFMC24 sulphide master composite preparation

Comp ID	Mass kg	Make-up	Au g/t	Ag g/t
CVF001	6.0	25%	1.97	44.0
CVF002	13.0	52%	2.18	12.0
IGF001	6.2	25%	2.56	15.0
Total			**2.22**	**20.4**

APPENDIX B

Dynasty Project - 2012 JORC Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• *Nature and quality of sampling (e.g., cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.* • *Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.* • *Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.* *In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g., 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g., submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.*	• Metallurgy samples were selected based on their spatial location or geological domain. For this first stage of sulphide testwork, the analysis focused on the fresh ore zone of Cerro Verde and Iguana mineralisation. • For the formation of the composites, subsamples from diamond drill core was selected from representative intermediate sulphidation epithermal gold style mineralisation. • For diamond drill core samples, a portion of the remaining drill core (half-core) was selected from diamond core which is stored in core boxes kept at the Dynasty Gold Project facilities. • For composite preparation, Cerro Verde and Iguana fresh subsamples were selected based upon the average grade considered representative of the potential head grade for Dynasty fresh ore. The composition of the selected Cerro Verde and Iguana fresh composite samples are tabled in Appendix A. • Each composite was stored in clearly labelled plastic buckets, detailing the individual subsamples that formed the composite samples. The samples were transported by air from Quito, Ecuador to Perth, Australia. Once received at the Perth laboratory, Auralia Metallurgy was responsible for mixing and homogenizing the samples to obtain the final representative composite samples. • Diamond drilling method was used to obtain HTW and NTW core (71.4/56.23 mm diameter respectively) for density and chemical analyses. ½ or ¼ core was submitted for analysis. • Downhole survey and core orientation tools are used, Diamond core is halved with a diamond saw to ensure a representative sample. • Samples were crushed to better than 70% passing a 2mm mesh and split to produce a 250g charge pulverised to 200 mesh to form a pulp sample. • 50g charges were split from each pulp for fire assay for Au with an atomic absorption (AA) finish and samples exceeding 10g/t Au (upper limit) have a separate 0g charge split and analysed by fire assay with a gravimetric finish. Samples returning >10ppm Au from the AA finish technique are re-analysed by 30g fire assay for Au with a gravimetric finish. • An additional charge is split from sample for four acid digests with ICP-MS reporting a 48-element suite. • Within the 48 elements suite, overlimit analyses of a 5-element suite are performed with an ore grade technique (ICP-AES) if any one element for Ag, Pb, Zn, Cu, Mo exceeds detection limits in the ICP-MS method.
Drilling techniques	• *Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g., core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit, or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).*	• Drilling HTW diameter core with standard tube core barrels retrieved by wire line, reducing to NTW diameter core as required at depth. • Drill core is oriented by Reflex ACT III and True Core tools.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drill sample recovery	• *Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.* • *Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.* • *Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.*	• Diamond sample recovery is recorded on a run-by-run basis during drilling with measurements of recovered material ratioed against drill advance. • Diamond core is split in weathered material, and in competent unweathered/fresh rock is cut by a diamond saw to maintain a representative sample for the length of the sample interval. • No correlation between sample recovery and grade is observed.
Logging	• *Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.* • *Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.* • *The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.*	• Diamond core samples are logged in detail, with descriptions and coded lithology for modelling purposes, with additional logging comprised of alteration, geotechnical, recovery, and structural logs including measurements based on core orientation marks generated from a Reflex ACTIII downhole survey tool. • Logging is predominantly qualitative in nature but including visual quantitative assessment of sulphide and quartz content included in text comments. • Core photographs are systematically acquired for whole core with sample intervals, orientation line prior and after the sampling in both wet and dry form. • The total lengths of all reported drill holes have been logged geologically and data is uploaded to a self-validating database. ½ cut and ¼ cut core material is retained from diamond drilling for re-logging and audit purposes.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• *If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all cores taken.* • *If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.* • *For all sample types, the nature, quality, and appropriateness of the* *sample preparation technique.* • *Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.* • *Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.* • *Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.*	• Diamond core is split or cut in weathered profile depending on hardness and competency of the core and cut with a diamond saw in fresh rock. Weathered, faulted, and fractured diamond core, prior to cutting, are docked, and covered with packing tape to ensure a representative half sample is taken. • A cutline on core is systematically applied for cutting and portion of core collected for analysis is systematic within each hole. Diamond core sample recovery is reported as being completed in accordance with best practices for the time of acquisition and considered to be appropriate and of good quality. • Sample size studies have not been conducted but sample size used are typical of methods used for other Andean deposits of similar mineralisation styles.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• *The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.* • *For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading*	• Assaying and Laboratory procedures reported are completed by certified independent labs and considered to be appropriate and in accordance with best practices for the type and style of mineralisation being assayed for. Gold Fire Assay technique used is a total recovery technique for gold analysis. This technique is considered an appropriate method to evaluate total gold and silver content of the samples. • No geophysical tools used in relation to the reported exploration results. • In addition to the laboratory's own quality control ("QC") procedure(s), Titan Minerals Ltd- regularly inserts its own Quality

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. • *Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g., standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e., lack of bias) and precision have been established.*	assurance and QC samples, with over 15% of samples in reported results corresponding to an inserted combination of certified reference materials (standards), certified blank material, field duplicate, lab duplicates (on both fine and coarse fraction material.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• *The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.* • *The use of twinned holes.* • *Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.* • *Discuss any adjustment to assay data.*	• Reported intersections are logged by professional geologists in Australia and data validated by a senior geologist in Ecuador. • Twin holes have not been used in the reported exploration results. The use of twinned holes is anticipated in follow-up drilling. • Original laboratory data files in CSV and locked PDF formats are stored together with the merged data. • All drilling, and surface data are stored in a self-validating MX Deposit geological database. • No adjustment to data is made in the reported results
Location of data points	• *Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.* • *Specification of the grid system used* • *Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*	• Reported drill collars and channel samples are located with an RTK GPS survey unit with sub-centimetre reporting for the purpose of improved confidence in resource estimation work. A gyroscopic survey tool is used for downhole surveys. • All surveyed data is collected and stored in WGS84 datum. • Topographic control is ground survey quality and reconciled against Drone platform survey data with 1m pixel resolution. Assessed to be adequate for the purpose of resource estimation • Grid system used for all undertakings at the Dynasty Project is WGS84 Zone 17 South
Data spacing and distribution	• *Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.* • *Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.* • *Whether sample compositing has been applied.*	• Data spacing for reported diamond drilling varies by prospect, targeting a nominal 80m lateral spacing and 80m vertical spacing for data acquisition to support Inferred Resources, and 40 lateral spacing x 40m vertical spacing to support Indicated Resources. • Data spacing is anticipated to support mineral resource estimation for the indicated and inferred categories, with data spacing and distribution for higher confidence resource estimation categories to be defined with further modelling and geostatistical analysis work. • No Sample compositing has been applied in reported exploration results.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	*Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.* • *If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.*	• The orientation of diamond drilling and trenching is perpendicular to mapped orientation of primary vein and porphyry target observed in outcrop where possible. • Drilling is often completed on multiple azimuths as fan drilling with multiple holes collared from a single drill site to minimise surface disturbance, which will result in some oblique intercepts to vein orientations. • The true thickness of intercepts will be accounted for following structural analysis of oriented core and 3D modelling of veins. All results in relation to this report are drilled thickness and should not be interpreted as true thickness at this time. • No bias is considered to have been introduced by the existing sampling orientation.
Sample security	• *The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	• Samples were collected by Titan Minerals geologists and held in a secure yard prior to shipment for laboratory analysis. Samples are enclosed in polyweave sacks for delivery to the lab and weighed individually prior to shipment and upon arrival at the lab. Sample shipment is completed through a commercial transport company with closed stowage area for transport.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Audits or reviews	• *The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.*	• No audits or reviews of reported data completed outside of standard checks on inserted QAQC sampling.

Section 2 - Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• *Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.* • *The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.*	• Titan Minerals Ltd, through its indirect wholly owned Ecuadorian subsidiaries, holds a portfolio of exploration properties in the Loja Province of Ecuador. Amongst these, Titan holds a 100% interest in the Pilo 9, Zar, Zar 1, Zar 3A and Cecilia 1 concessions forming the Dynasty Project and totalling an area of 13,909 hectares. • Mineral concessions in Ecuador are subject to government royalty, the amount of which varies from 3% to 4% depending on scale of operations and for large scale operations (>1,000tpd underground or >3,000tpd open pit) is subject to negotiation of a mineral/mining agreement. • Pilo 9, Zar and Zar 1 are subject to a 3% royalty payable to the Ecuador Government as part of the Small Scale Mine Licensing regime currently issued in favour of the Dynasty Gold Project but may be subject to change in the event economic studies after exploration indicate a need to apply for a change of regime. • Concessions, Zar 3A and Cecilia 1 have not yet completed the environmental permitting process and require the grant of an Environmental Authorisation. • Mineral concessions require the holder to (i) pay an annual conservation fee per hectare, (ii) provide an annual environmental update report for the concessions including details of the environmental protection works program to be followed for the following year. These works do not need approval; and (iii) an annual report on the previous year's exploration and production activity. Mineral Concessions are renewable by the Ecuadorian Ministry of Oil, Mining and Energy in accordance with the Mining Law on such terms and conditions as defined in the Mining Law.
Exploration done by other parties	• *Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.*	• Dynasty Gold Project Exploration done by other parties set out in further detail in the Titan ASX release dated 19 May 2020, and summarised below: • 1977, the Spanish-Ecuadorian joint venture company, Enadimsa, claimed 1,350ha in the La Zanja (Cerro Verde) area for exploration - no results included in reporting. • During the 1970s the United Nations explored the "Curiplaya" area, 2 km east of the Dynasty Project. Copper and gold were detected in small quantities, data not included in reporting. • 1991–92, BHP Exploration Ltd. covered the general area with concessions, but the tenements eventually lapsed after minimal work. • 2001 to 2003, a private prospecting company, Ecuasaxon, undertook investigations in the general area and discovered anomalous gold and silver in quartz-sulphide veins in what is now the concession area. • 2003 until 2007 Dynasty Mining and Metals (later Core Gold) completed mapping, limited ground geophysical surveys and exploration sampling activity including 201 drill holes totalling 26,733.5m and 2,033 rock channel samples were taken from 1,161 surface trenches at Cerro Verde, Iguana Este, Trapichillo and Papayal in support of a maiden resource estimation. • 2008 to 2009, the Ecuadorian Government introduced an exploration moratorium, where on April 18, 2008, Ecuador's Constitutional Assembly passed a Constituent Mandate resolution (the "Mining Mandate"), which

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		provided, among other provisions, for the suspension of mineral exploration activities for 180 days, or until a new Mining Act was approved. The Mining Act was published in late January 2009. The mining regulations to supplement and provide rules which govern the Mining Act were issued in November 2009, after which time the Mining Act and Regulations (collectively, the "Mining Law") were enacted. • 2017 to 2020 Core Gold Inc. (formerly Dynasty Mining and Metals) commenced small scale mining on a small portion of the Dynasty Project. Operations exposed a number of veins of the Canadian NI 43-101 compliant resource estimate, and operations discovered several veins of varying orientations not previously identified in drill and trench exploration activities requiring further exploration activity to quantify.
Geology	• *Deposit type, geological setting, and style of mineralisation.*	• Regionally, the Dynasty gold project lies within the compressional Inter-Andean Graben that is bounded by regional scale faults. The graben is composed of thick Oligocene to Miocene aged volcano- sedimentary sequences that cover the Chaucha, Amotape and Guamote terrains. This structural zone hosts several significant epithermal, porphyry, mesothermal, S-type granitoid, VHMS and ultramafic/ophiolite precious metal and base metal mineral deposits. • At the project scale, the intermediate volcanic hosted mineralised veins mainly occur along a faulted zone near and sub-parallel to the contact with the Cretaceous aged Tangula Batholith that extends north from Peru and is found outcropping in the east and south of the concessions. • Porphyry intrusion style mineralisation hosting gold and copper mineralisation has also been mapped and intersected by drilling by at the Kaliman porphyry within the Dynasty Project area. • Gold occurs in its native form along with sulphides, including pyrite, sphalerite, galena, arsenopyrite, marcasite, chalcopyrite and bornite.

Drill hole Information	• *A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:*
	  ○ *easting and northing of the drill hole collar*
	  ○ *elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar*
	  ○ *dip and azimuth of the hole*
	  ○ *down hole length and interception depth*
	  ○ *hole length.*
	• *If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly*
		• Tabulation of requisite information for all reported drilling results with significant intercepts validated by Titan geologists and referenced in this report are included in Appendix A of this report.
		• Total number of drill holes and trench sites included in this report and located in graphics included in the report.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	explain why this is the case.	
Data aggregation methods	• *In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated* *Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.* • *The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.*	• No high-grade assay cut was applied to reported gold results. In the case of silver, the initial upper detection limit of the four-acid digest used is 100ppm, and an overlimit analysis method with an upper detection limit of 1,500ppm is used. • Lower cut-off for reported significant intercepts is nominally 0.5 g/t Au with up to 4m of internal dilution (results with <0.5g/t Au or un-sampled intervals where null values are taken as a zero-gold grade in calculating significant intercepts) are allowed within a reported intercept. • No metal equivalent reporting is applicable to this announcement
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• *These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.* • *If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.* • *If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., 'down hole length, true width not known').*	• Reported intersections are measured sample lengths. Reported trench and channel intersections are of unknown true width, further drilling and modelling of results is required to confirm the projected dip(s) of mineralised zones. • Reported intercepts are drilled thickness and should not be interpreted as true thickness unless otherwise indicated.
Diagrams	• *Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.*	• Included in body of report as deemed appropriate by the competent person
Balanced reporting	• *Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced avoiding misleading reporting of Exploration Results.*	• All material exploration results for surface geochemistry are included in the appendices of this report, and location of all results are included in figures provided in their entirety. • All results above 0.5g/t Au are included when reporting high grade vein hosted gold mineralisation. No upper cut-off has been applied.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Other substantive exploration data	• *Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.*	• No other available datasets are considered relevant to reported exploration results. Historical exploration results include orientation studies for ground magnetics, IP Geophysics, and soil sampling grids, however each of these surveys are limited in scale relative to the project and are not considered material to assess potential of the larger project area. • Bulk density tests have been completed on areas related to the reported exploration results. • Metallurgical results for Cerro Verde fresh ore material are included in the body of this announcement
Further work	• *The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).* • *Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.*	• Additional mapping, trenching and drilling is planned to better define structural controls on mineralisation and assess open ended mineralisation on multiple mineralised corridors within the project area. Further mapping and sampling are to be conducted along strike of reported work to refine and prioritise targets for drill testing. • An updated Mineral Resource Estimate is targeted for mid-2025, which will include the estimation of key elements (Au, Ag, As, S, Pb, Zn, Cu) and include an improved geological and mineralisation wireframe constraint model which is being updated from new surface mapping, trenching and resource definition diamond drilling currently underway. • Included in body of report as deemed appropriate by the competent person.

Section 3 - Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	• *Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.* • *Data validation procedures used.*	• All drilling, and surface data are stored in a self-validating MX Deposits database. • The Competent Person understands that Titan have undertaken detailed and systematic cross checking of historical data to ensure maximum integrity in the data used for Mineral Resource estimation. The process of field checks and validation is ongoing as access to ground is granted. • The Competent Person also performed general data audits and checks on the supplied data. Minor errors were adjusted.
Site visits	• *Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.* • *If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.*	• No site visit has been undertaken by The Competent Person. Site visits are planned ahead of future Mineral Resource updates.
Geological interpretation	• *Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.* • *Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.* • *The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.* • *The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.* • *The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.*	• The interpretations are guided by the broader regional geological setting and local field observations. Extensive mapping of outcrops is critical to understanding mineralisation and has been used extensively in the geological interpretations. • The nature of the domains would indicate that alternate interpretations are possible as there are cross-cutting vein arrays. This may impact the location of interpreted veins slightly. • The confidence in the geological interpretation is good as extensive outcrop mapping has been utilised. • The geological logging and the results of the geostatistical analyses have been useful in predicting the continuity of the mineralisation for the Mineral Resource estimation.
Dimensions	• *The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.*	• The Dynasty Project has been estimated over 10 by 3 kilometres with classified material to a depth of over 350m in some locations. Most mineralisation is open at depth.
Estimation and modelling techniques	• *The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.* • *The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.* • *The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.* • *Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid*	• The mineralisation 1 m composites exhibit approximately log-normal distributions within each domain which is suitable for estimation by ordinary kriging. Ordinary Kriging (“OK”) interpolation with dynamic anisotropy oriented ‘ellipsoid’ searches were used for the estimate. • Sample data was composited to 1 m down hole lengths using the ‘best fit’ method. Intervals with no assays were excluded from the estimates. The influence of extreme grade values was addressed by applying top-cuts to the data. These cut values were determined through statistical analysis (histograms, log probability plots, CVs, and summary multi-variate and bi-variate statistics) using Supervisor software. The maximum distance of extrapolation from data points for reportable Mineral Resources was around 150m. • The current estimate is an update of the historical 2019 reported Mineral Resource estimate which was completed using polygonal methods. The results are similar in gold ounces. • No assumptions have been made regarding recovery of by-products.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	mine drainage characterisation). • *In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.* • *Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.* • *Any assumptions about correlation between variables.* • *Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.* • *Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.* • *The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.*	• No non-grade elements have been estimated. Arsenic was also estimated. • The parent block dimensions used were 10m E by 10m N by 10m RL with sub-cells of 1.0m E by 1.0m N by 1.0m RL. The parent block size was selected through kriging neighbourhood testing and considering the dimensions of the domains and drill hole spacing. • Selective mining units were not modelled. • There are good correlations between Au, Ag and As. The variogram models and estimation parameters were similar to attempt to preserve correlation however each variable was estimated independently. • Top-cuts were required for some elements in some domains as there were extreme grades which would result in overestimation using ordinary kriging if not addressed. Log-probability plots and histograms were generated to assist in the selection of appropriate top-cuts. • Validation of grade estimates was completed using a three-stage process. The first is a global comparison of declustered and top-cut (where required) composites key statistics to the block model estimates for the first search pass as well as subsequent search passes. The second is a trend analysis where the top-cut (where required) composites are sliced into windows in multiple directions and compared. The third is careful local validation of composite grades to estimated grade in multiple orientations to ensure expected grade trends are reproduced and the estimates are a good reflection of the input composites and estimation parameters. Where required, parameters were adjusted in an iterative process to ensure a robust estimation. • Validation results showed good correlation between the sample grades and the block model grades. • Datamine version 1.13.202.0 was used for block modelling, estimation, and reporting. Supervisor version 8.15.0.3 was used for statistical and geostatistical analysis.
Moisture	• *Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.*	• Tonnages and grades were estimated on a dry in situ basis. No moisture values were reviewed.
Cut-off parameters	• *The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.*	• An optimisation exercise was completed to determine an appropriate resource reporting cut-off. A cut-off of 0.5 g/t Au has been applied for reporting Mineral Resources.
Mining factors and assumptions	• *Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.*	• Mining method is assumed to be a combination of open pit mining followed by underground mining methods on deeper, high-grade veins that continue at depth. • A preliminary whittle optimisation using assumptions from peer deposits was run which resulted in open pits optimising to a maximum depth of approximately: ○ 380 metres at Cerro Verde ○ 320 metres at Iguana ○ 120 metres at Papayal ○ 160 metres at Trapichillo • Mining factors and assumptions used in optimisation studies are based upon peer deposits and assumed long-term commodity prices. See below:

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Economics/Cost / **UNIT** / **VALUE** Gold Price / $/oz / 1850 Mining Cost / $/t Rock / 2.5 Processing Cost / $/t Ore / 25 Au recovery (overall) / % / 93% Ag Recovery (overall) / % / 70% Dore Selling/Security cost / $/oz / 3 **Pit Slopes (overall slope)** Weathered / Degrees / 40 Transition / Degrees / 45 Fresh / Degrees / 50
Metallurgical factors and assumptions	• *The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.*	• Ongoing metallurgical testwork studies have progressed at the Dynasty Project. This data has been used in conjunction with geological logging and multi-element analysis in the creation of weathering domains. • No metallurgical factors or assumptions are incorporated into the resource estimate beyond those observations above. The stated resources include oxide, transitional and fresh (sulphide) material. • Metallurgical testing will continue in future mining studies and will be reviewed for any future resource updates. Small scale mining conducted in 2018-2019 extracted oxide material to a depth of up to 60m on multiple veins in the Cerro Verde prospect, which was trucked to an offsite processing facility (Svetlana Process Plant at the Zaruma Project in Portovelo, approximately 160km by road). Metallurgical recoveries ranged from 80 to 85% and averaged 82.3%. • Latest results from metallurgical tests indicate overall recoveries of >90% gold and >90% silver for fresh (sulphide) ore material. • Metallurgical recoveries are anticipated to be improved with metallurgical studies and optimisation of a process flowsheet designed specifically for processing Dynasty ore types.
Environmental factors and assumptions	• *Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.*	• No assumptions have been made by the Competent Person regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. • It is assumed that no environmental factors exist that could prohibit any potential mining development at the deposit.
Density	• *Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.* • *The bulk density for bulk material must have been*	• In some locations, drilling has not penetrated deep enough to create realistic weathering horizons. • Analysis of 6,850 bulk density values showed a gradual increase in density with depth. The topography was translated in 20m increments and each zone was assigned a dry bulk density.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. • *Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.*	
Classification	• *The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.* • *Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).* • *Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.*	• Mineral Resources were classified in accordance with the Australasian Code for the Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC, 2012 Edition). • The deposit has been tested with high quality drilling, sampling and assaying and extensive surface sampling. Geological logging has defined structural and lithological controls that provide confidence in the interpretation of mineralisation boundaries. The Competent Person considers that geological and mineralisation continuity has been demonstrated with sufficient confidence to allow the Dynasty Project to be classified as Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources. • Where the data spacing is closer than approximately 50m along strike by 50m down dip material was able to be classified as Indicated. Where the data spacing is approximately 50m to 150m along strike by 50m to 150m down dip material was able to be classified as Inferred. Each vein domain was then analysed in terms of extrapolation and number of informing samples. Polygons were created for the majority of vein domains to flag Indicated and/or Inferred material. Where extrapolation was more than 70m from data polygons were created to limit classified material. Vein domains with a very low number of informing samples remain unclassified. Where material was only informed by surface sampling the highest classification possible was Inferred. • The Mineral Resource estimation and classification appropriately reflects the view of the Competent Person.
Audits and reviews	• *The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.*	• No external reviews or audits have been completed, internal audits have been completed which verified the technical inputs, methodology, parameters and results of the estimate.
Discussion of relative accuracy / confidence	• *Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.* • *The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.* • *These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.*	• A quantitative procedure for assessing relative accuracy and precision has not been deemed appropriate by the Competent Person for the estimation of gold grade at this stage. • The Dynasty Mineral Resource estimates have been reported with degree of confidence commensurate with Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources. • The data quality is good, and the drill holes have detailed logs produced by qualified geologists for all recent drilling. A certified laboratory has been used for all analyses. • The Mineral Resource statement relates to global estimates of tonnes and grade. • Production information has not been compared to the estimate at this stage. • Previous mining focused on the Cerro Verde Prospect covered approximately 500m on the southwest extent of the larger >9km long Dynasty vein swarm corridor. Small scale mining over a 2 ½ year period commencing early 2016 averaged 3.4g/t gold from numerous veins ranging from 1.5m to 10m in width. • The small-scale mining identified numerous veins not included in the previous foreign mineral resource estimate. The additional mineralisation discovered in mined open pits yielded a 40% increase in contained gold versus the previous foreign mineral resource estimate for the areas mined. This additional gold is realised from a 69% increase in ore material at a 2.0 g/t Au cut-off grade.

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

26 March 2025

Suite 1, 295 Rokeby Road,
Subiaco WA 6008

+61 8 6375 2700
info@titanminerals.com.au

titanminerals.com.au
ASX: TTM