


## FURTHER EXTENSIONS OF GOLD MINERALISATION AT PICCADILLY

- New results confirm gold grades extending further to the west.
- Identification of further significant widths of mineralisation across strike
- Gold zone remains open to the north, east, and west and down dip

Cannindah Resources Limited's (ASX: CAE) has received recent assay results which complement the release to the ASX on 22<sup>nd</sup> of August and confirm the continuation of the gold zone at Piccadilly by a further 15m to the west. The zone remains open to the west and down dip. Across strike channel sampling, spaced at 5m intervals along the gold lodes, confirm that gold grades are continuing over several metres. The results of three channels are 8m @ 6.99 g/t Au, 6m @ 7.57 g/t Au and 8 @ 2.46 g/t Au (see figure 1). It is interesting to note that some of the higher gold grades are emanating from the northern side of the slot, suggesting additional mineralisation to the main lode intersected on the southern side. This opens up more exploration in the previously unsampled zone to the north-west of the Western Slot.

Cannindah Resources Limited has stockpiled material from the exploration activity within the mining lease. Recent assay results from sampling the eastern pile average 16.9g/tAu. The average of all 20 representative samples of stockpiled material taken to date is 12.6 g/t Au.

**Figure 1 Channel Sample results from Western Slot as well as representative gold grades from recent stockpiles.**



## **COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT**

*The information in this report that relates to exploration results is based on information compiled by Dr. Simon D. Beams, a full time employee of Terra Search Pty Ltd, geological consultants employed by Cannindah Resources Limited to carry out geological evaluation of the mineralisation potential of the Piccadilly Mining Lease (ML1442) 80 km west of Townsville, Queensland, Australia.*

*Dr. Beams has BSc Honours and PhD degrees in geology; he is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (Member #107121) and a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (Member # 2689). Dr. Beams has sufficient relevant experience in respect to the style of mineralization, the type of deposit under consideration and the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person within the definition of the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves ("JORC Code").*

*Dr. Beams consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.*

**For further information, please contact:**

**Tom Pickett**  
**Executive Chairman**  
**Ph: 61 7 3357 398**

## APPENDIX 2 – JORC Code Table 1 Cannindah Resources Piccadilly Gold Mine announcement 25 August, 2017.

### Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.) These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sampling representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Surface channel sampling was undertaken in (1) trenches dug by an excavator (Trench IDs PIT001-002) and (2) channels dug by excavator in the floor of excavated slot, after it had been scraped clean of loose rock. Samples were collected along the length of the sample interval which generally were of standard 1m or 2m lengths measured with a cm graduated measuring tape. In some instances, sub 1m samples were taken across the targeted vein zone. Sample size was generally 2-3kg of representative mixed rock chip material, randomly taken along the length of sample interval. The 2m intervals required larger samples in the order of 3-5kg to ensure representivity</li> <li>- Sample information was recorded in pre-numbered sample books with locations originally collected with a Garmin 76 hand held GPS. More accurate follow up locations were obtained using a Garmin Differential GPS (DGPS).</li> <li>- A 1kg-5 kg representative sample of all rock chips and weathered material was collected and placed in a calico bag. A representative of each sample was also retained in a plastic rock chip tray for future reference.</li> <li>-</li> <li>• Samples were transported to ALS laboratories, Townsville for analysis. After crushing, pulverizing a sub-sample of each was assayed for gold using the 50g fire assay method (ALS code: Au-AA26)</li> </ul>
	<p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1m samples from which 3kg was pulverised to produce a 30g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></p>	
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<p><i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.)</i></p>	Drilling was not conducted.
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<p><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></p>	Drilling was not conducted
	<p><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></p>	Drilling was not conducted
	<p><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to</i></p>	Drilling was not conducted

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	<i>preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	
<b>Logging</b>	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies</i>	Any observations on soil or rock type or comments on logistics were recorded in the sample book. The rock types were described in detail.
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel etc.) photography.</i>	Descriptions are qualitative in nature, based on visual observations from experienced geologists..
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	All rock samples were described.
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	Drilling was not conducted.
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	Drilling was not conducted.
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	The above techniques are considered to be of a high quality, and appropriate for the nature of mineralisation anticipated. The 1-5kg sample size is appropriate for the rock being sampled.
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representativity of samples.</i>	<del>Sampling along each channel was supervised by an experienced geologist to ensure that there was an even, unbiased distribution of material throughout the interval.</del>
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	Terra Search quality control included collection of close spaced channel, separate character sampling of vein material and repeat sampling of channels across vein zones to determine distribution of gold. There was a conscious effort on behalf of the samplers to ensure consistent weights for each comparative sample interval.
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	Material is narrow quartz vein and country rock altered sandstone. Gold is coarse grained in places , with some instances of visible gold. In this context, close spaced sampling of 1kg to 5kg size were considered appropriate to determine gold grades for indicative exploration purposes and surface evaluations. . .
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	The primary assay method used is designed to measure the total gold in the sample as per classic fire assay.
	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc. the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibration factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	No geophysical tools, or portable XRF were used. No PXRF results are reported here.
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	QAQC samples are monitored on a batch-by-batch basis, Terra Search has well established sampling protocols including blanks, certified reference material, and in-house standards which are matrix matched against the samples in the program. Terra Search quality control included determinations on certified OREAS samples and analyses on duplicate

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
		samples interspersed at regular intervals through the sample suite of both the commercial laboratory batch. Standards were checked and found to be within acceptable tolerances.
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	There has been no external check assaying undertaken on the rock chip samples.
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	Drilling was not conducted.
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verifications, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	Location and sampling data were collected by experienced geologists and entered into sampling books which were then entered into spreadsheets. Location and analysis data are then collated into a single Excel spreadsheet.
		Data is stored on servers in the Company's head office, with regular backups and archival copies of the database made. Data is also stored at Terra Search's Townsville Office. Data is validated by long-standing procedures within Excel Spreadsheets and Explorer 3 data base and spatially validated within MapInfo GIS.
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	No adjustments are made to the Commercial lab assay data.
<b>Location of data points</b>	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	Locations information was originally collected with a Garmin 76 hand held GPS. More accurate follow up locations were obtained using a Trimble Differential GPS (DGPS). Location accuracy is in the order of 0.1m X-Y and 0.3m in the Z direction.
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	Coordinate system is UTM Zone 55 (MGA) and datum is GDA94
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	Pre-existing DTM is based on Shuttle Radar and adequate for exploration data
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	An approximately 10m wide slot has been excavated parallel to the main lode for at least 120m of strike, at the western end of the Piccadilly mine area. Trenches and channels have been dug oriented right angles to the lode. Channels are spaced in the order of 5m intervals along the vein. Sampling along individual channels is generally over intervals of 1m to 2m. Check samples between the 5m spaced trenches have been taken as 1m or less, continuous channels of vein material or single grab character, samples of vein and altered material.
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	Sample spacing and distribution is deemed appropriate for indicative gold grades within mineralised vein and lode material and could be used to establish geological control. Close space drilling would be required to estimate a Mineral Resource or Ore Reserve..
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	No sample compositing has been applied.
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	In situ sampling of lode, and vein outcrops was across the strike of the vein. Unbiased sampling is achieved for this structure.
	<i>If the relationship between drilling</i>	Drilling was not conducted.

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	<i>orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	
<b>Sample security</b>	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	Chain of custody was managed by Terra Search Pty Ltd. Samples were always in Terra Search's possession as they were carried in their own vehicles by road until transferred to ALS lab Townsville
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	No audits or reviews have been undertaken

## APPENDIX 3 – JORC Code Table 2

### Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national and environmental settings.	Exploration conducted on ML1442 owned by Piccadilly Gold Mine Holdings Pty Ltd. This information has been provided by Piccadilly Gold Mines Pty Ltd and Cannndah Resources Limited.  An access agreement with the current landholders in in place.
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.	No impediments to operate are known.
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	Acknowledgement and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Previous exploration has been conducted by multiple companies. MIM (1970) and Pan Australian Mining (1987). Geological mapping, rock chip sampling has been undertaken and assessed by Piccadilly Gold Mines Holdings..  Current exploration program conducted by consultant geologists Terra Search Pty Ltd, Townsville QLD.
<b>Geology</b>	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	Narrow gold bearing quartz sulphide veins hosted in tilted siliclastic sediments
<b>Drill hole information</b>	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>Elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>Dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>Down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>Hole length</li> </ul> If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	No drilling was conducted.
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.  Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations be shown in detail	No cut-offs have been applied in reporting of the rock chip sampling exploration results.  Intercepts were aggregated over trench intervals where all gold grades exceeded 0.5 g/t Au, allowing for 2m of internal waste where gold grades were generally 0.15g/t Au to 0.5 g/t Au . A grade was determining for each individual sample in the interval, taking into account the length of interval. A weighted average gold grade is reported for the intercept.

	<i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	No metal equivalents have been used in reporting.
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<i>The relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. down hole length, true width not known).</i>	No drilling was conducted.
<b>Diagrams</b>	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scale) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	MGA coordinates of rock chip samples are tabulated in this report. No drilling has been undertaken.
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practised to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	All sample results are reported within announcement.
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	The results reported here are preliminary in nature and indicative of the expected gold grades along the Piccadilly structure. More sampling is required to integrate results with previous regional scale exploration data sets.
<b>Further work</b>	<i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. test for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i>	Lateral extension of the Piccadilly vein structure will be tested with more trenching,
	<i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	Not yet determined, further work is being conducted.