

**CANNINDAH LOCATES EXCEPTIONALLY HIGH GRADE
79.4 G/T Au VEIN SAMPLE**

Cannindah Resources Limited (ASX: CAE) has conducted further exploration activity within the mining lease ML1442. Oosen Mining Pty Ltd at the direction of Cannindah Resources continued the task of locating gold bearing veins to establish the possible grade within the gold system at Piccadilly. The latest sampling has returned an exceptionally high grade 79.4g/t Au sample from a 5 cm vein approximately 5m to 15m from previous high grade gold samples which returned up to 27g/t Au as announced on the 21st of March 2017. Further samples within the most recent target area returned 12g/t Au, 16.55g/t Au, 22g/t Au, 32.3g/t Au and 77.9g/t Au.

Importantly, the result that returned 32.3g/t Au was taken across the vein structure as a continuous, (0.75m long) channel. The vein system remains open at depth. Results to date are encouraging as they indicate the possibility of further high grade areas of significant width being present. The oxidised quartz sulphide vein material occurs at the western end of the current trench excavation, in an area where a small working was developed on the vein structure. It is also encouraging to note that rare, small specks and flakes of visible gold were observed in the oxidised vein material.

The quartz veins are outlined in the table below and show a current area approximately 5m along strike with currently unknown width at depth. It is also encouraging to note that some sample widths were up to 0.75m and returned assays up to 32.3g/t Au.

As noted in the announcement on the 21st of March 2017 the Piccadilly vein system has a strike length in excess of 700 metres, with the current sampling at the western end of the gold zone. Historically the high grade areas that were mined were located further east by at least 400m.

The company intends to complete further test work on the total project area. CAE work done to date occurs in a very small target zone of the total mining lease area. CAE will continue to evaluate the project area for the potential of small scale mining activities to commence in the near term.

The company will keep shareholders informed as further data and other information becomes available.

Table: Rock chip sampling of mineralised lode material in trench exposures, western end of Piccadilly Vein System

Sample	Data_Type	MGA_N	MGA_E	Lith_Description	Au g/t
3019228	Single Grab	7835975	402708	3cm comb textured gossanous quartz vein	22.30
3019229	Single Grab	7835975	402707	Gossanous quartz veined altered sandstone,	12.00
3019230	Single Grab	7835974	402707	10cm gossanous quartz vein, some chalcopyrite/chalcocite	16.55
3019231	Continuous Channel 0.75m	7835974	402705	Gossanous quartz vein and altered sandstone, 0.75 m zone, specks visible gold noted in vein	32.30
3019232	Single Grab	7835975	402708	4cm comb textured gossanous quartz vein, rare flakes of visible gold noted	79.40
3019233	Single Grab	7835974	402708	4cm comb textured gossanous quartz vein	77.90

COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT

The information in this report that relates to exploration results is based on information compiled by Dr. Simon D. Beams, a full time employee of Terra Search Pty Ltd, geological consultants employed by Cannindah Resources Limited to carry out geological evaluation of the mineralisation potential of the Piccadilly Mining Lease (ML2442) 80 km west of Townsville, Queensland, Australia.

Dr. Beams has BSc Honours and PhD degrees in geology; he is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (Member #107121) and a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (Member # 2689). Dr. Beams has sufficient relevant experience in respect to the style of mineralization, the type of deposit under consideration and the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person within the definition of the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (“JORC Code”).

Dr. Beams consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

For further information, please contact:

Tom Pickett
Executive Chairman
Ph: 61 7 3357 3988

**APPENDIX 1 – JORC Code Table 1 Cannindah Resources Piccadilly Gold Mine announcement
March 27, 2017.**

Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.) These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sampling representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The sampling consisted of (1) single grab rock chips, (2) selected rock chips (3) continuous channels across veins with sample lengths of 0.75m. Samples were taken from trench floor, and walls. - Sample information was recorded in pre-numbered sample books with locations established with a Garmin 76 hand held GPS for each sample site. - Continuous channel samples were in the order of a 2-4cm channel. dug with a Soil-pick along the floor of the trench, across the vein. Every effort was made to keep the width of the sample channel consistent, regardless of rock hardness .A 0.5kg-1.5 kg representative sample of all rock chips and weathered material from the continuous channel was collected in pans and placed in a calico bag. A representative sample of each metre was also retained in a plastic rock chip tray for future reference.
	<p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1m samples from which 3kg was pulverised to produce a 30g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples were transported to ALS laboratories, Townsville for analysis. After crushing, pulverizing a sub-sample of each was assayed for gold using the 50g fire assay method (ALS code: Au-AA26)

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Drilling techniques	<i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.)</i>	Drilling was not conducted.
Drill sample recovery	<i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i>	Drilling was not conducted
	<i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i>	Drilling was not conducted
	<i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	Drilling was not conducted
Logging	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies</i>	Any observations on soil or rock type or comments on logistics were recorded in the sample book. The rock types were described in detail.
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel etc.) photography.</i>	Descriptions are qualitative in nature, based on visual observations from experienced geologists..
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	All rock samples were described.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	Drilling was not conducted.
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	Drilling was not conducted.
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	The above techniques are considered to be of a high quality, and appropriate for the nature of mineralisation anticipated. The 0.5-1.5kg sample size is appropriate for the rock being sampled.
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representativity of samples.</i>	The 0.75m continuous channel sample was collected as near as practically across the strike of the vein and alteration zone.
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	Terra Search quality control included collection of both grab vein material and continuous channel across the vein. Duplicate sampling was not considered appropriate. there was a conscious effort on behalf of the samplers to ensure consistent weights for each sample.
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	Material is narrow quartz vein and country rock altered sandstone. In this context, close spaced sampling of 0.5kg to 1.5kg size were considered appropriate to determine gold grades for indicative exploration purposes . .
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	The primary assay method used is designed to measure the total gold in the sample as per classic fire assay.
	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc. the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibration factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	No geophysical tools, portable XRF were used

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	QAQC samples are monitored on a batch-by-batch basis, Terra Search has well established sampling protocols including blanks, certified reference material, and in-house standards which are matrix matched against the samples in the program. Terra Search quality control included determinations on certified OREAS samples and analyses on duplicate samples interspersed at regular intervals through the sample suite of both the commercial laboratory batch. Standards were checked and found to be within acceptable tolerances.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	There has been no external check assaying undertaken on the rock chip samples.
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	Drilling was not conducted.
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verifications, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	Location and sampling data were collected by experienced geologists and entered into sampling books which were then entered into spreadsheets. Location and analysis data are then collated into a single Excel spreadsheet. Data is stored on servers in the Company's head office, with regular backups and archival copies of the database made. Data is also stored at Terra Search's Townsville Office. Data is validated by long-standing procedures within Excel Spreadsheets and Explorer 3 data base and spatially validated within MapInfo GIS.
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	No adjustments are made to the Commercial lab assay data.
Location of data points	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	Sample locations were established with a Garmin 76 hand held GPS. Location accuracy is in the order of 10m X-Y and 15m in the Z direction.
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	Coordinate system is UTM Zone 55 (MGA) and datum is GDA94
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	Pre-existing DTM is based on Shuttle Radar and adequate for exploration data
Data spacing and distribution	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Spot Trench samples reported here are a component of a program to collect samples at an approximate 5m spacing along the trench.
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	Sample spacing and distribution is deemed appropriate for indicative gold grades along and across the vein and could be used to establish geological control. Close space drilling would be required to estimate a Mineral Resource or Ore Reserve..
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	No sample compositing has been applied.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	Spot grab samples are taken along the strike of the mineralisation/alteration zone lode. Continuous channel sampling orientation was perpendicular to the main structure of interest along which known mineralisation exists. Unbiased sampling is achieved for this structure.

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	<i>If the relationship between drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	Drilling was not conducted.
Sample security	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	Chain of custody was managed by Terra Search Pty Ltd. Samples were always in Terra Search's possession as they were carried in their own vehicles by road until transferred to ALS lab Townsville
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	No audits or reviews have been undertaken

APPENDIX 2 – JORC Code Table 2

Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national and environmental settings.</i>	Exploration conducted on ML1442 owned by Piccadilly Gold Mine Holdings Pty Ltd. This information has been provided by Piccadilly Gold Mines Pty Ltd and Cannndah Resources Limited. An access agreement with the current landholders in in place.
	<i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.</i>	No impediments to operate are known.
Exploration done by other parties	<i>Acknowledgement and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	Previous exploration has been conducted by multiple companies. MIM (1970) and Pan Australian Mining (1987). Geological mapping, rock chip sampling has been undertaken and assessed by Piccadilly Gold Mines Holdings.. Current exploration program conducted by consultant geologists Terra Search Pty Ltd, Townsville QLD.
Geology	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	Narrow gold bearing quartz sulphide veins hosted in tilted siliclastic sediments
Drill hole information	<i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> • <i>Elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> • <i>Dip and azimuth of the hole</i> • <i>Down hole length and interception depth</i> • <i>Hole length</i> <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i>	No drilling was conducted.
Data aggregation methods	<i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations be shown in detail</i>	No cut-offs have been applied in reporting of the rock chip sampling exploration results. No aggregate intercepts have been applied in reporting of the soil sampling exploration results.
	<i>The assumptions used for any reporting</i>	No metal equivalents have been used in

	<i>of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	reporting.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<i>The relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. down hole length, true width not known).</i>	No drilling was conducted.
Diagrams	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scale) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	MGA coordinates of rock chip samples are tabulated in this report. No drilling has been undertaken.
Balanced reporting	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practised to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	All sample results are reported within announcement.
Other substantive exploration data	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	The results reported here are preliminary in nature and indicative of the expected gold grades along the Piccadilly structure. More sampling is required to integrate results with previous regional scale exploration data sets.
Further work	<i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. test for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i>	Lateral extension of the Piccadilly vein structure will be tested with more trenching,
	<i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	Not yet determined, further work is being conducted.