

## ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

15 August 2018

---

### HIGH GRADE COBALT ASSAY RESULTS FROM BLOOM LAKE PROJECT, CANADA

---

#### HIGHLIGHTS


- Winmar's 100% owned Bloom Lake Project is located peripheral to a cluster of former high-grade silver-cobalt mines at Gowganda, in north-eastern Ontario, 85 kms north-west of the town of Cobalt and its world-famous silver-cobalt camp.
- Winmar is currently undertaking Phase 1 of its Cobalt Exploration Program comprising mapping and sampling. As part of Phase 1, 35 samples have been collected from both bedrock and from loose material proximal to historical trenches, adits, and shafts.
- Assay results returned confirm high-grade copper-cobalt mineralization with anomalous gold and nickel. Better assay results include:
  - 6.84 % Cobalt, 0.422 g/t Gold, 0.58% Copper and 1.56 % Nickel - Sample ID 853028
  - 2.02% Cobalt and 0.35% Nickel - Sample ID 853024
  - 1.50% Cobalt, 8.94% Copper and 0.10% Nickel - Sample ID 853044
  - 1.16% Cobalt and 2.24% Copper - Sample ID 853048
  - 0.79% Cobalt, 0.34g/t Gold and 0.40% Copper - Sample ID 853039
  - 0.47% Cobalt and 0.29% Nickel - Sample ID 853035
  - 0.29% Cobalt and 5.34% Copper - Sample ID 853036
  - 0.25% Cobalt and 5.69% Copper - Sample ID 853045
  - 5.18% Nickel - Sample ID 853052
- Planning of Phase 2 of the Cobalt Exploration Program is currently being undertaken to further map and sample historical workings ahead of a maiden diamond drilling program.

Winmar Resources Ltd (**Winmar** or the **Company**) (**ASX:WFE**) is pleased to report the assay results from the Company's Phase 1 Cobalt Exploration Program recently completed at its 100% owned Bloom Lake Project located in Ontario, Canada.

The Phase 1 Cobalt Exploration Program was undertaken by the Company's consultant geologists in Canada and consisted of locating and sampling historical trenches, adits, and shafts within the Bloom Lake Project.

The Bloom Lake Project is one of three blocks of mining claims secured through an agreement with CBLT Inc. (**CBLT**) (TSXV: CBLT), first announced 8 December 2017. The Company completed the acquisition of the Bloom Lake Project in January 2018 (refer ASX Announcement dated 22 January 2018) and has a 12-month option to purchase either or both of the United Reef Project and the Calcite Lake Project. Together these three projects cover approx. 2,240ha of mining claims within the historic high-grade silver- cobalt mining district of Cobalt-Gowganda in north-eastern Ontario, Canada.

The Bloom Lake, United Reef and the Calcite Lake Projects are located peripheral to a cluster of former high-grade silver-cobalt mines at Gowganda, 85km northwest of Cobalt, which operated from 1910 to 1989 and where historic production from this region in the period up to the end of 1969 is reported as having been 60.2 million ounces of silver and 1.3 million pounds of cobalt.



**Figure 1:** Phase 1 reconnaissance prospecting program - sample locations at the Bloom Lake Project

As part of the Phase 1 reconnaissance program, a total of 33 samples were collected from both bedrock and from loose material proximal to historical trenches, adits, and shafts. Results are provided in Table 1. Grab samples were selective in nature, and the reported mineralization and assay results may not be representative.

Summary Phase 1 Reconnaissance Program Assay Results						
Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Gold (g/t)	Cobalt (%)	Copper (%)	Nickel (%)
853019B	524558	5290478	<0.013	0.074	0.18	<0.06
853020B	524563	5290478	<0.013	0.095	0.16	<0.06
853021	524562	5290477	<0.013	0.098	0.34	<0.06
853022	524597	5290351	0.066	0.36	<0.06	0.16
853023	524595	5290349	0.059	0.062	0.861	<0.06
853024	524584	5290370	0.026	2.02	0.057	0.35
853025	524564	5290359	<0.013	<0.01	1.95	<0.06
853026	524586	5289628	<0.013	<0.01	<0.06	<0.06
853027	524579	5289627	<0.013	<0.01	<0.06	<0.06
853028	524581	5289633	0.422	6.84	0.58	1.56
853029	524409	5289729	<0.013	0.018	<0.06	<0.06
853030	524405	5289729	<0.013	<0.01	<0.06	<0.06
853031	524404	5289727	<0.013	<0.01	<0.06	<0.06
853032	524539	5289733	<0.013	0.054	<0.06	<0.06
853033	524540	5289739	<0.013	0.088	<0.06	<0.06
853034	524225	5290065	0.222	0.212	0.49	<0.06
853035	524233	5290072	<0.013	0.47	<0.06	0.29
853036	524291	5289987	<0.013	0.288	5.34	<0.06
853037	524295	5289980	<0.013	0.062	1.07	<0.06
853038	524280	5289987	0.035	<0.01	<0.06	<0.06
853039	524291	5289990	0.337	0.789	0.4	0.072
853040	524311	5289983	0.083	0.274	<0.06	<0.06
853041	524469	5289883	<0.013	0.017	0.076	<0.06
853042	524465	5289881	<0.013	<0.01	<0.06	<0.06
853043	524497	5288563	<0.013	0.086	9.22	<0.06
853044	524484	5288570	0.13	1.5	8.94	0.1
853045	524484	5288572	<0.013	0.25	5.69	<0.06
853046	524500	5288572	<0.013	<0.01	<0.06	<0.06
853047	524527	5288553	<0.013	<0.01	<0.06	<0.06
853048	524664	5289512	0.049	1.16	<0.05	0.25
853049	524653	5289508	<0.013	0.051	<0.06	<0.06
853050	524588	5289519	0.11	<0.01	2.24	<0.06
853051	524609	5289527	<0.013	<0.01	0.23	<0.06

**Table 1:** Summary Phase 1 Reconnaissance Program Assay Results, Bloom Lake Project

As is evidenced from the above results, a number of the samples returned high-grade copper and cobalt mineralisation and anomalous gold and nickel.

*“We are obviously very pleased with the assay results from the Phase 1 Cobalt Exploration Program. Our consulting geologists were able to locate and sample areas of previous exploration and mining activity within the Bloom Lake Project area. These high-grade cobalt, copper and nickel assay results confirm results from the historical records that we reviewed as part of the initial project due diligence and they demonstrate the high-value opportunity we have secured”* the Company’s Chairman Jason Brewer said.

*“Whilst our immediate focus is on the recently announced cobalt production joint venture and acquisitions in the Democratic Republic of Congo, there is no doubt that the Bloom Lake Project offers value to the Company and our shareholders. We are now assessing these first phase exploration results and already planning our next phase of exploration and will continue to review how we can maximise shareholder value from Bloom Lake and the other two Canadian cobalt projects that we have under option.”* Brewer added.

All samples were submitted to ROX- LAB in Sudbury, Ontario, a division of TestMark Laboratories of Garson, Ont. ROX-LAB is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 ,CALA, CCIL by Standards Council of Canada.

All grab samples submitted to ROX-LAB were assigned an internal sample identification number. Samples were then individually weighed, tagged, recorded, and sent for sample preparation. Samples were crushed, and a 500 gram sample of the homogenized material was removed using a Jones Riffler. The sample was then pulverized to all passing < 100 mesh, with methanol cleaning of pulverizing bowls after every sample.

Samples were analysed for a selective multi-element package for base metals including Arsenic, Bismuth, Cobalt, Copper, Lead, Sulphur, Nickel, Zinc. Samples were analyzed by Sodium Peroxide Fusion followed by ICP-OES finish. Samples were also analysed for precious metals including Gold, Silver, Platinum, and Palladium. Gold and Silver were first analyzed and reported using the standard Gravimetric Fire Assay method using 30 grams of sample, with every tenth sample a duplicate , a CRM (certified reference material), and one blank furnace check. For low levels of gold, platinum, and palladium, 30 grams Fire Assay silver-lead collection with Mass Spectrometry Finish (MS) method was used. Silver assays for low levels were completed by using Induced Coupled Plasma ICP-OES using 15 grams Fire Assay silver-lead collection.

The Company and its geological consultants are utilising the assays results from Phase 1 Cobalt Exploration Program to plan the next phase of exploration work to be completed at the Bloom Lake Project.

The Company is also pleased to confirm that it is further reviewing its option to acquire the United Reef and the Calcite Lake Projects and how to best maximise value from its strategic position in the Cobalt-Gowganda district in eastern Ontario, Canada.



Jason Brewer  
**Chairman**

### **Competent Person Statement**

The information in this release that relates to Exploration Targets, Exploration Results, Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves is based on information compiled by Mr Joerg Kleinboeck, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Association of Professional Geoscientists of Ontario, being a 'Recognised Professional Organisation' for the purposes of the ASX Listing Rules. Mr Kleinboeck is the owner and principal of JMK Exploration Consulting., who have been engaged by Winmar Resources Ltd as consultant geologists.

Mr Kleinboeck has sufficient experience of relevance to the styles of mineralisation and the types of deposits under consideration, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Kleinboeck consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on information in the form and context in which it appears.

### **Forward looking statements**

Information included in this release constitutes forward-looking statements. Often, but not always, forward looking statements can generally be identified by the use of forward looking words such as "may", "will", "expect", "intend", "plan", "estimate", "anticipate", "continue", and "guidance", or other similar words and may include, without limitation, statements regarding plans, strategies and objectives of management, anticipated production or construction commencement dates and expected costs or production outputs.

Forward looking statements inherently involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the Company's actual results, performance and achievements to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements. Relevant factors may include, but are not limited to, changes in commodity prices, foreign exchange fluctuations and general economic conditions, increased costs and demand for production inputs, the speculative nature of exploration and project development, including the risks of obtaining necessary licences and permits and diminishing quantities or grades of reserves, political and social risks, changes to the regulatory framework within which the company operates or may in the future operate, environmental conditions including extreme weather conditions, recruitment and retention of personnel, industrial relations issues and litigation.

Forward looking statements are based on the Company and its management's good faith assumptions relating to the financial, market, regulatory and other relevant environments that will exist and affect the Company's business and operations in the future. The Company does not give any assurance that the assumptions on which forward looking statements are based will prove to be correct, or that the Company's business or operations will not be affected in any material manner by these or other factors not foreseen or foreseeable by the Company or management or beyond the Company's control.

Although the Company attempts and has attempted to identify factors that would cause actual actions, events or results to differ materially from those disclosed in forward looking statements, there may be other factors that could cause actual results, performance, achievements or events not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended, and many events are beyond the reasonable control of the Company. Accordingly, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward looking statements. Forward looking statements in these materials speak only at the date of issue. Subject to any continuing obligations under applicable law or any relevant stock exchange listing rules, in providing this information the company does not undertake any obligation to publicly update or revise any of the forward-looking statements or to advise of any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

# APPENDIX 1 – PHASE 1 RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM ASSAY RESULTS, BLOOM LAKE PROJECT

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Method	Parameter	Result	Units
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0686	%
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.074	%
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	0.18	%
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853019B	524558	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0936	%
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	0.061	%
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.095	%
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	0.16	%
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853020B	524563	5290478	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0992	%
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.098	%
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	0.34	%
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853021	524562	5290477	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.066	g/T
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.618	%
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.36	%



Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Method	Parameter	Result	Units
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	0.16	%
853022	524597	5290351	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.059	g/T
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0845	%
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.062	%
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	0.861	%
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853023	524595	5290349	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.026	g/T
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	3.4	%
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	0.052	%
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	2.02	%
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	0.057	%
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	0.35	%
853024	524584	5290370	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.05	%
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0189	%
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	1.95	%
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853025	524564	5290359	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0167	%
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Method	Parameter	Result	Units
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853026	524586	5289628	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0184	%
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853027	524579	5289627	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.422	g/T
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	8.74	%
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	6.84	%
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	0.58	%
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	1.56	%
853028	524581	5289633	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0196	%
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.018	%
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853029	524409	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.006	%



Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Method	Parameter	Result	Units
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853030	524405	5289729	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0137	%
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853031	524404	5289727	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0648	%
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.054	%
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853032	524539	5289733	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0654	%
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.088	%
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853033	524540	5289739	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.222	g/T
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Method	Parameter	Result	Units
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.312	%
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.212	%
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	0.49	%
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853034	524225	5290065	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	1.53	%
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.47	%
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	0.29	%
853035	524233	5290072	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.419	%
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.288	%
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	5.34	%
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853036	524291	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0709	%
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.062	%
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	1.07	%
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853037	524295	5289980	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.035	g/T
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Method	Parameter	Result	Units
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0167	%
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	0.066	%
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853038	524280	5289987	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.337	g/T
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	1.26	%
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.05	%
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.789	%
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	0.4	%
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	0.072	%
853039	524291	5289990	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.05	%
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.083	g/T
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.39	%
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	0.072	%
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.274	%
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853040	524311	5289983	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.02	%
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.017	%
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	0.076	%
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853041	524469	5289883	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Method	Parameter	Result	Units
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0162	%
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853042	524465	5289881	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.113	%
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.086	%
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	9.22	%
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853043	524497	5288563	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.13	g/T
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	0.05	g/T
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	2.61	%
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	1.5	%
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	8.94	%
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	0.1	%
853044	524484	5288570	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.409	%
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.25	%
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	5.69	%
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853045	524484	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Method	Parameter	Result	Units
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0149	%
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853046	524500	5288572	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0074	%
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853047	524527	5288553	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.049	g/T
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	2.06	%
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.05	%
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	1.16	%
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.05	%
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	0.13	%
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	0.25	%
853048	524664	5289512	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.05	%
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0811	%
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	0.051	%
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	<0.06	%
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	2.82	%
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853049	524653	5289508	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%

Sample ID	Easting	Northing	Method	Parameter	Result	Units
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	0.11	g/T
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0143	%
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	2.24	%
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853050	524588	5289519	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-FA-Gravimetric	Silver	<0.333	ppm
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Gold	<0.0133	g/T
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Palladium	<0.0133	g/T
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-FA-ICP-OES	Platinum	<0.0133	g/T
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-Fus-OES	Arsenic	0.0069	%
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-Fus-OES	Bismuth	<0.06	%
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-Fus-OES	Cobalt	<0.01	%
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-Fus-OES	Copper	0.23	%
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-Fus-OES	Lead	<0.03	%
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-Fus-OES	Nickel	<0.06	%
853051	524609	5289527	Ore-Fus-OES	Zinc	<0.06	%



## APPENDIX 2 – JORC TABLE 1 CHECKLIST OF ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING CRITERIA

Section 1 - Sampling Techniques and Data		
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; <i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as downhole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></li> <li>&gt; <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></li> <li>&gt; <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></li> </ul>	<p>Historical pits and trenches were located and sampled, including broken blast material that was not insitu but proximal to a pit, trench, or adit.</p> <p>Given the purpose of first pass ongoing reconnaissance nature of the exploration work, sampling practices employed have been deemed appropriate at the time.</p> <p>None of the samples are appropriate for, or have been used for, Mineral Resource estimates. Sampling has been completed for the purpose of helping to assist with the definition of mineralised zones and have been sampled in accordance with standardised sampling procedures and protocols.</p>
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; <i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open- hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).</i></li> </ul>	<p>This information release does not report drill sampling or results.</p>
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></li> <li>&gt; <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></li> <li>&gt; <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></li> </ul>	<p>This information release does not report drill sampling or results.</p>
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></li> <li>&gt; <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.</i></li> <li>&gt; <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></li> </ul>	<p>This information release does not report drill sampling or results.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>&gt; If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>&gt; For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>&gt; Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>&gt; Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>&gt; Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<p>This information release does not report drill sampling or results.</p> <p>All grab samples submitted to ROX-LAB were assigned an internal sample identification number. Samples were then individually weighed, tagged, recorded, and sent for sample preparation. Samples were crushed, and a 500 gram sample of the homogenized material was removed using a Jones Riffler. Sample was then pulverized to all passing &lt; 100 mesh, with methanol cleaning of pulverizing bowls after every sample.</p> <p>Samples were analysed for a selective multi-element package for base metals including Arsenic, Bismuth, Cobalt, Copper, Lead, Sulphur, Nickel, Zinc. Samples were analyzed by Sodium Peroxide Fusion followed by ICP-OES finish. Samples were also analysed for precious metals including Gold, Silver, Platinum, and Palladium. Gold and Silver were first analyzed and reported using the standard Gravimetric Fire Assay method using 30 grams of sample, with every tenth sample a duplicate, a CRM (certified reference material), and one blank furnace check. For low levels of gold, platinum, and palladium, 30 grams Fire Assay silver-lead collection with Mass Spectrometry Finish (MS) method was used. Silver assays for low levels were completed by using Induced Coupled Plasma ICP-OES using 15 grams Fire Assay silver-lead collection.</p> <p>A certified standard and blank was inserted as part of Winmar's internal QA/QC procedures. For first-pass reconnaissance sampling this is considered adequate.</p>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>&gt; For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>&gt; Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<p>All samples were submitted to ROX- LAB in Sudbury, Ontario, a division of TestMark Laboratories based in Garson, Ont. ROX-LAB is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 ,CALA, CCIL by Standards Council of Canada.</p> <p>A certified standard and blank were inserted into the sample stream by Winmar prior to shipping the samples to ROX LAB. Acceptable levels of accuracy and precision were established, and no QA/QC concerns were noted.</p> <p>Examination of the QA/QC sample data indicates satisfactory performance of field sampling protocols and assay laboratories providing acceptable levels of precision and accuracy.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>&gt; The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>&gt; Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>&gt; Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<p>No verification exploration work has so far been undertaken at this stage.</p> <p>This information released does not report drill sampling or results.</p> <p>The data from recent exploration program is currently stored in digital format. A back up digital data will be uploaded to the company's database in Perth, WA. The presented results have been rounded to the third decimal place.</p> <p>No adjustments have been made to reported assay data.</p>
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>&gt; Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>&gt; Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<p>The geological data have been surveyed using handheld GPS devices, giving an accuracy of +/- 3m in open-ground.</p> <p>NAD 83 UTM (Zone 17)</p>
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>&gt; Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>&gt; Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<p>Sampling undertaken to date was of a reconnaissance nature and wide spread and focused on historical trenches, pits, and adits..</p> <p>Not applicable as no resource estimation. Sampling undertaken to date was of a reconnaissance nature and wide spread.</p>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>&gt; If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<p>Not applicable to the current sampling.</p> <p>Not applicable to the current sampling.</p>
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<p>Samples were delivered directly from the field by the project geologist in sealed rice bags so that a chain of custody was maintained.. The laboratory confirmed the integrity of the rice bag seals upon receipt</p>
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<p>Not applicable to the current sampling.</p>

Section 2 - Reporting of Exploration Results		
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></li> <li>&gt; <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></li> </ul>	<p>The Bloom Lake Property is held 100% by Winmar Resources Ltd . It comprises 3 unpatented legacy mining claims, and comprises 44 claim units covering an area of approximately 695 ha.</p> <p>No exploration plan or permit was required to perform the aforementioned exploration program.</p> <p>See above, no other known impediments.</p>
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></li> </ul>	<p>Historical work on the Property dates to 1907 when the Ontario Gowganda-Cobalt Consolidated Company Ltd., whose name changed in 1910 to Bishop Silver Mines of Canada Ltd., discovered a 6 to 8-inch calcite vein on the west shore of Bloom Lake, followed by numerous other veins that were prospected by trenching and pitting. By 1924, an adit was driven into the hillside along the shoreline of Bloom Lake, as well as a 50 ft deep shaft was sunk west of the adit. During the 1950's and 1960's, a group of several prospectors held the claims and completed prospecting, trenching, and limited diamond drilling on the Property. Since then limited prospecting has been completed on the Property prior to Winmar's acquisition of the Property in January 2018.</p>
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></li> </ul>	<p>The mineralisation within the Cobalt-Gowganda mine district comprises calcite-quartz vein systems containing native silver and cobalt-nickel-iron minerals that infill vertical fractures within the Nipissing Diabase, a set of sub-horizontal intrusive sills of dolerite-gabbro that act as host rock. The three claim blocks target the Nipissing Diabase and documented mineral occurrences within it (see descriptions below). The properties include mineral showings, old mine shafts and adits, yet little if any modern exploration has taken place for silver-cobalt mineralisation. Within the Bloom Lake claim block, the MDI database refers to grab samples taken in 1998 that yielded 219 to 603 g/t silver, 0.3 to 0.9% cobalt and 11.0 to 14.7% copper.</p>

<p><i>Drill hole information</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</li> <li>&gt; easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>&gt; elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>&gt; dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>&gt; down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>&gt; hole length.</li> <li>&gt; If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<p>This information release does not report drill sampling or results.</p>
<p><i>Data aggregation methods</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>&gt; Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>&gt; The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<p>At this stage it is considered that an insufficient data set has been collected to allow geostatistical methods of any relevance</p> <p>Not included in the reported results.</p> <p>This information release does not include metal equivalent values</p>
<p><i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>&gt; If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>&gt; If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<p>Sampling undertaken to date was of a reconnaissance nature and wide spread. Insufficient data has been collected to comment on geometry.</p>
<p><i>Diagrams</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<p>Refer to body of this information release.</p>

Balanced reporting	<p>&gt; <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p>	Due to the nature of the early stage project status and limited sampling to date, the results should be considered indicative only and not material. All results should be considered in the limited context of the sampling program. The samples collected to date are considered representative of the exposed mineralisation only.
Other substantive exploration data	<p>➤ <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></p>	No other exploration data available at this time.
Further work	<p>&gt; <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></p> <p>&gt; <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></p>	Further work may include mapping, soil sampling and bed rock sampling to identify prospective target zones prior to drill testing of higher priority targets..