

A NEW MINERALISATION TREND IDENTIFIED

Garnet Skarn Deposit, Idaho

AVM has been working diligently on the Garnet Skarn Deposit and has completed a new technical review of all the exploration data. The review took a completely different approach when analysing the data at the Garnet Skarn deposit. The review looked at all the data with a fresh view of how the data influenced the deposit and how data collected by Anaconda Mining Company could be utilised in understanding the Skarn deposition across the property. Working with the Anaconda data and the data collected by AVM, the entire geological interpretation was reworked with a new interpretation of the project area.

HIGHLIGHTS

- **A New Mineralisation Trend has been identified at Garnet Skarn Deposit**
 - The new mineralisation area covers a larger portion of the project relating to the contact through the AVM mining claims.
- **A technical review of the project has uncovered new information on the deposit leading to a larger mineralisation zone.**
 - The Company digitised historical data, including drill hole data, geochemical samples, mining sections and historical summary reports.
- **The new mineralisation zone covers approximately 24% of the current claim area, leaving potential upside with further exploration.**
- **A new 3D model has been developed, with information forthcoming on the results from the modelling.**
- **New Exploration targets are being developed across the project with a new interpretation of the deposit, which will be released once complete.**

Commenting on the exploration program, Advance Chief Executive Officer Frank Bennett said:

"Our fresh-look review of exploration data from the Garnet Skarn Project, combined with existing site data from the Anaconda Mining Company, has produced exciting results. The new mineralization zone identifies a much larger area across the site, yet covers only 24% of the entire project. This still leaves large areas to be addressed in our next phases of exploration. The 3D model and exploration targets currently being developed look extremely positive and show the growing potential upside to the Garnet Creek Project."

Advance Metals (ASX: AVM) is pleased to announce that the Company has completed a technical review of the Garnet Skarn Deposit in western Idaho with positive results. For this review, the Company digitised all historical information collected by the Anaconda Mining Company and developed a property-wide copper mineralisation zone (Figure 1).

The results from the review show that the potential across the property has significantly increased, comprising approximately 24% of the claimed property. Several new exploration targets are being developed. The new mineralisation trend covers a northwest-southeast contact where the Skarn outcropping has been identified across the project area.




Figure 1: Mineralisation Zone

Anaconda Historical Data Review

The AVM technical team completed a new review of all the historical information that Anaconda Mining collected over several decades. The analysis and new interpretation allowed the Company to look at the deposit from a new perspective. The data included drilling data, geochemical assays, mine plans and geophysical data.

From 1919 – 1975, Anaconda Mining studied the Seven Devils Mining District. During this time, the Company completed several studies on properties adjacent to the Advance Metals Garnet Creek Project (Figure 2). Anaconda completed several studies, including drilling 58 drill holes adjacent to the Garnet Skarn Deposit.



Figure 2. Anaconda Mining Company Area of Study

The Anaconda Mining Company's thorough review of the properties provided a reasonable investment analysis in the Seven Devils Mining District. Anaconda's investigations revealed several key issues that led to their regional disengagement. These issues were difficult to navigate for the Company, including complex private land ownership, the remoteness of the properties, and the high logistics costs to surrounding processing plants.

In the several decades following Anaconda's interest, the Seven Devils Mining District has seen many improvements associated with the initial risks outlined. Private land ownership has changed. The road infrastructure is improved and maintained by Adams County. Global supply chain efficiencies have significantly reduced material logistics costs. With modern processes and market conditions, the risks associated with the properties can be well mitigated.

Mineralisation Zone

The Anaconda Mining Company identified a general mineralisation trend through fractured zones along the northeast trending thrust fault through the mining district. AVM exploration, including geochemical and geophysical results, has confirmed a large mineralisation zone across the Garnet Skarn property that aligns with this assessment.

Work by AVM and previous companies has defined a significant skarn system with locally high-grade copper mineralisation (Figure 3). A zone of mineralisation has been constructed to represent this skarn system. Within the 4 km by 0.5 km target mineralisation zone, a minimum of four skarn zones have been identified, with more likely to be found pending further exploration (drilling, geophysics). Assuming similar depths (100 m) and aerial extent within the target zone, it is not unreasonable to assume an exploration potential of 20 to 30 million tons of copper in the grade range of 0.3 % to 0.7 % range.

An AVM soil and rock sampling campaign identified geochemical anomalies associated with mapped surface skarn across the project area. Select rock samples reach as high as 21.24% Cu on property. Sampled copper skarn at the project surface may suggest copper mineralisation at depth. The extent and grades of the known mineralisation and the strength of the skarn and porphyry mineralisation suggest that a large and capable mineralising system was active on the property.




Figure 3: Mineralisation Zone and Geological Map

Disseminated copper sulphide mineralisation within the Deep Creek Pluton was confirmed through sampling methods across the property. Given the favourable lithology and proximity to adjacent disseminated copper projects, Garnet Skarn likely hosts disseminated copper within the Seven Devils volcanic package at depth.

Cu mineralisation has been found in varying degrees within all project units, including quartz diorite, volcanoclastics, and flow rocks (Wise & Banghart, 1970). The best-known and highest-grade Cu mineralisation at the Garnet Skarn project is the skarn formed along the contact between the Deep Creek pluton and Martin Bridge limestone.

Peacock Mine drilling reports of a mineralised copper core continuous for 122 m (400 ft) confirm mineralised copper sulphides existing below the Quartz Diorite. Based on geochemical results and historical drilling, it is thought that mineralised copper and the associated carbonate system at depth may be connected with the secondary copper sulphide systems seen below the Peacock Mine. Further drilling and geophysical analysis of the mineralisation zone may reveal additional information about this carbonate system at depth.

Property Mineralisation

There is increasing evidence that porphyry mineralisation is present at depth and is potentially the source of the Cu skarn mineralisation. At least two stages of mineralisation have been identified in the Martin Bridge skarn: (1) primary sulphides and (2) secondary copper enrichment associated with the unit. Unweathered skarn is locally rich in primary Fe-Cu sulphides. The skarn is accompanied by secondary enrichment of chalcocite and bornite, interpreted to have formed during a hypogene oxidation event.

The primary mineralisation of skarn was likely introduced during the late stages of the main skarn event. Primary sulphide minerals include pyrite, chalcopyrite and bornite. Copper values locally range up to 21.24% Cu in primary mineralisation.

Property mineralisation displays bornite, chalcopyrite, covellite, and chalcocite in irregular clusters and seams within tabular skarns. Malachite, azurite, and chalcocite may be supergene-enriched and abundant to depths of 91 m (300 ft) (Cook, 1954). The skarns also host molybdenum and tungsten in scheelite-powellite. Skarns, marble, and limestone are expansive at the surface (Figure 4).

A single drift of "oxidised skarn" located within 91 m (300 ft) from the surface at the historical Peacock Mine is responsible for much of the district's historical copper production. This lithology correlates with the skarn contacts mined in the Alaska Mine. This unit was likely formed during a hypogene oxidation event. Massive chalcocite and oxidised primary copper ores are found along the margins of the skarn. The chalcocite is especially abundant where the Cu-rich fluids encounter primary sulphides.

The chalcocite occasionally cuts and replaces pyrite or forms masses surrounding euhedral pyrite. Primary copper minerals were deposited where the oxidising fluid encountered no primary sulphides. The extent of this interpretation, analysed through a historical lens and confirmed through field investigation, has led the Company to develop an expansive zone of mineralisation across the Garnet Skarn property.




Figure 4: Mineralisation Zone and Aeromagnetic Data

Next Steps

A 3D Model has been developed utilising the historical and recent exploration data showing the potential mineralisation. The Company is currently developing prospective new drill targets across the property. All of this information is being used to develop upgraded JORC Exploration targets for the Garnet Skarn Deposit.

This market announcement has been authorised for release to the market by the Board of Advance Metals Limited.

For more information, please contact:

Advance Metals Limited

Chief Executive Officer: Frank Bennett

Email: fbennett@advancemetals.com.au

Chief Operating Officer: Dominic Hill

Email: dhill@advancemetals.com.au

About Advance Metals Limited

Advance Metals Limited (ASX: AVM) is a copper-focused exploration company with a world-class portfolio of copper growth projects in mining-friendly jurisdictions of the United States. We seek to maximise shareholder value through the acquisition, discovery, and advancement of high-quality metals projects in North America. The Company utilises the expertise of our North American exploration team to identify underexplored and undervalued high-grade copper projects with significant geological potential.

The Company has 100% ownership of the Garnet Skarn Deposit, the Augustus Polymetallic Project, and the Anderson Creek Gold Project. More details are available on AVM's website, www.advancemetals.com.au.



AVM Project Locations

Background

The 100% owned Garnet Skarn Project property is located on the southeast margin of the Seven Devils Mountains in Adams County, west-central Idaho, USA.

The property is within the Seven Devils Mining District and is approximately 68 km (42 mi) northwest of the town of Council, within the Payette National Forest. The property totals 1,022.6 hectares (2,527 acres). The property consists of 147 lode claims.

The Company recently undertook an in-depth technical review of historical documentation to digitise relevant information and develop GIS exploration models utilising historical drilling records. The process involved utilising GIS modelling software, AI programs, satellite remote sensing, and geological and geophysical analysis of the project area.

Analysis of the results has found strong exploration potential at the Garnet Skarn Project.



Previously Released Information

These ASX announcements refer to information extracted from reports available for viewing on AVM's website, www.advancemetals.com.au, and announced on:

- 06.09.2021 "Historic Gold Assays - Anderson Creek Gold Project"
- 16.01.2019 "Elko Coking Coal Project JORC Resource Increased to 303Mt"

AVM confirms it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements and, in the case of exploration targets, that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the exploration targets in the relevant market announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed. AVM confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings were presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcements.

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements contained in this release, particularly those regarding possible or assumed future performance, revenue, costs, dividends, production levels or rates, prices, or potential growth of the Company, are or may be forward-looking statements. Such statements relate to future events and expectations and, as such, involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual results and developments may differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

The interpretations and conclusions reached in this announcement are based on current geological theory and the best evidence available to the authors at the time of writing. It is the nature of all scientific conclusions that they are founded on an assessment of probabilities and, however high they might be, make no claim for absolute certainty. Any economic decisions that might be taken on the basis of interpretations or conclusions contained in this report will therefore carry an element of risk, or conclusions contained in this report will therefore carry an element of risk.

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr. Jim Guilinger. Mr. Guilinger is a Member of a Recognised Overseas Professional Organisation included in a list promulgated by the ASX (SME Registered Member of the Society of Mining, Metallurgy and Exploration Inc).

Mr. Guilinger is Principal of independent consultants World Industrial Minerals LLC. Mr. Guilinger has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr. Guilinger consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Augustus Polymetallic Project, Yavapai County, Arizona

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| | <p>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as downhole gamma sondes, handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</p> | <p>Soil samples were collected from 18" below the surface or from the soil 'C' horizon where appropriate, using a pick axe, shovel or similar tool. Samples were placed in closeable cloth bags, each with unique barcoded sample IDs. The soil samples are summarised in Appendix D of the "JORC 2022 Technical Report", November 2022.</p> <p>Rock samples were collected at the surface using a steel rock hammer. Samples were placed in plastic bags with unique barcoded tags and sealed with zip ties. The rock samples are summarised in Appendix E of the "JORC 2022 Technical Report", November 2022.</p> |
| <p>Sampling techniques</p> | <p>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representativity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</p> <p>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</p> | <p>The aeromagnetic and radiometric survey was flown by Precision GeoSurveys Inc.</p> <p>GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY SPECIFICATIONS</p> <p>Survey Technology: Magnetic Gradient and Radiometric Survey</p> <p>Survey Dates: May 17 and May 18, 2022</p> <p>Survey Base: Ontario, Oregon</p> <p>Aircraft Type: Airbus AS350 helicopter</p> <p>Registration: C-GSVY</p> <p>Total Line kilometres: 205 kilometres</p> <p>Mean Survey Height: 55.8 metres</p> |

Survey Line Spacing: 100 metres

Survey Line Direction 140°/320"

Tie Line Spacing: 1000 metres

Tile Line Direction: 050°/230°

AIRBORNE SURVEY SYSTEM

Magnetometre Sensors: 3 x Geometrics G--822A Cesium

Configuration: Triple gradient boom with 3-axis compensation

Sample Rate: 20Hz

Sensitivity: 0.0005 nT Hz rms

Gamma Ray Spectrometre: Pico EnirotecAGRS-5

Downward-Looking Crystals: 16.8 litres of NaI(Tl)

Upward-Looking Crystal: 4.2 litres of NaI(Tl)

Sample Rate: 1Hz (Resampled b 20 Hz)

In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done, this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.

A geologist collected rock samples. Rock samples were collected at the surface using a steel rock hammer from the outcrop. Rock chip samples were geolocated and tagged using a GPS unit before being photographed and described in field notes. Samples were placed in plastic bags with unique identifiers aligned with field note tags and sealed for transport to the lab.

The grab samples are not representative of the deposit as a whole. Future sampling will address this issue.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Drilling techniques | Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit, or another type, whether the core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.). | Not applicable. |
| | Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. | Not applicable. |
| Drill sample recovery | Measures are taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure the representative nature of the samples. | Not applicable. |
| | Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. | Not applicable. |
| | Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. | Not applicable. |
| Logging | Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography. | Rock samples were qualitatively and geologically described. Rock samples were photographed before being placed in a secure bag with a unique identifier linked to sample field notes. |
| | The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. | Not applicable. |
| | If core, whether cut or sawn, and whether quarter, half, or all core taken. | Not applicable. |
| Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation | If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc., and whether sampled wet or dry. | Grab samples were dry upon collection. |
| | For all sample types, the nature, quality, and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. | Not applicable. |
| | Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise the representivity of samples. | Not applicable. |

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | <p>Measures are taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including, for instance, results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</p> | <p>Grab sampling was selective and based on geological observations.</p> |
| | <p>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</p> | <p>Not applicable.</p> |
| | <p>The nature, quality, and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</p> | <p>Soil samples were analysed by ALS labs. Rock assays were performed by Paragon Labs. The assay data has been found to be within the tolerance of the assay methods used by the geochemical assay labs.</p> |
| <p>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</p> | <p>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</p> | <p>Not applicable.</p> |
| | <p>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g., standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e., lack of bias) and precision have been established.</p> | <p>Not applicable.</p> |
| | <p>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</p> | <p>Samples have not been verified by independent personnel.</p> |
| | <p>The use of twinned holes.</p> | <p>Not applicable.</p> |
| <p>Verification of sampling and assaying</p> | <p>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</p> | <p>Data entry was performed by AVM personnel and checked by AVM geologists. Field data were all recorded in field notebooks and entered into a digital database. Rock samples and outcrops were photographed before lab analysis.</p> |
| | <p>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</p> | <p>Not applicable.</p> |
| <p>Location of data points</p> | <p>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used</p> | <p>Sample location is based on GPS coordinates +/- 10 m.</p> |

in Mineral Resource estimation.

Specification of the grid system used.

Quality and adequacy of topographic control.

Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.

Data spacing and distribution

Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.

Whether sample compositing has been applied.

Orientation of data in relation to geological structure

Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.

If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.

Sample security

The measures are taken to ensure sample security.

Data within this Report is published in WGS84 UTM zone 11N coordinates. Data was collected using handheld Garmin GPS units or smartphone-based GIS apps with an approximate 2m horizontal and 5m vertical accuracy.

Topography control is +/- 10 ft (3 m).

The survey line spacing of the geophysics was 100m, with data recorded at 20Hz to provide stations every 20m. The data density is considered appropriate for the purpose of the survey.

Gridded soil samples were spaced approximately 100x150m

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

Geophysical line paths are approximately perpendicular to the regional strike direction of geological formations and are sufficient to locate discrete anomalies.

Chains of custody were maintained at all times.

Samples were held under lock or protective custodian by Ethos Geological, federal courier, or at a secured facility maintained by the sample geochemical assay laboratory. All rock samples were in the direct control of company

geologists until dispatched to Paragon Labs.

Samples were kept in numbered bags and transferred to a double-walled system to ensure integrity during transit to the lab.

No external audits or reviews have been conducted to date. However, sampling techniques are consistent with industry standards.

Audits or reviews The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|--|---|
| Mineral tenement and land tenure status | Type, reference name/number, location, and ownership, including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national parks, and environmental settings. | Advance Metals controls 147 Federal Lode Claims covering an area of 2859.1 acres. Annual claim maintenance fees are payable to the BLM by September 1 of each year. AVM paid initial staking fees in June 2022. The claims are 100% owned by Texas and Oklahoma Coal Company (USA) Inc. (a 100% owned AVM subsidiary). |
| | The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting and any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. | No impediments to holding the claims exist. To maintain the claims, an annual holding fee of \$165/claim is payable to the BLM. |
| Exploration done by other parties | Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. | The area was previously explored for Copper by Anaconda Mining Company and the USBM, who compiled extensive geological studies of the project and adjacent areas. These reports contain summaries of the historic mining and production that occurred prior to WWII. |
| Geology | Deposit type, geological setting, and style of mineralisation. | The Garnet Creek Project exposes the accreted island arc geology of the Wallowa terrane and contains a complex series of volcanic, sedimentary, and intrusive stratigraphy. The stratigraphy includes altered andesite, rhyolite, and clastics of the Seven Devils Group; |

Martin Bridge Formation limestones; younger Jura-Cretaceous quartz diorite of the Deep Creek Pluton; and local and regional metamorphism.

Skarn at Garnet Creek is referred to as "tactite". Tactite forms when hydrothermal fluids from an alkaline intrusion react with carbonate material from a limestone or dolomite horizon, a product of metasomatism.

The resulting contact rocks can host concentrated magnetite, Cu, Pb-Zn, Sn, W, Mo, Au, Ag, U, REE, and Sn (Einaudi et al., 1981). The fluid transfer can produce exoskarns (altered country rock) and endoskarns (altered intrusion rock).

Common minerals in the inner zone of skarns include garnet, diopside and hedenbergite. Wollastonite concentrates further from the contacts, and zones may be overlapped by retrograde chlorite, epidote, and clays (McQueen, 2005).

A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results, including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:

easting and northing of the drill hole collar

elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar

dip and azimuth of the hole

downhole length and interception depth

Hole length.

If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

Drill hole
Information

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Data aggregation methods | <p>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</p> | No high-grade cutting. |
| | <p>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</p> | No aggregation used |
| | <p>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</p> | No metal equivalents are used. |
| Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths | <p>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</p> | |
| | <p>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</p> | Not applicable. |
| | <p>If it is unknown and only the downhole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</p> | |
| Diagrams | <p>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to, a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</p> | See the Figures within this press release above. |
| Balanced reporting | <p>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practised to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</p> | Total copper values range from 0.00066 to 21.24% in selected rock samples as reported by Paragon Labs. |

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| Other substantive exploration data | <p>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported, including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</p> | <p>The Company (AVM) has compiled assay results and geochemical sampling data from Anaconda Mining Company, USBM, USGS, and IGS documents as the basis for additional exploration, geochemical sampling, and mapping. AVM has not verified the location or accuracy of any of these data.</p> |
| Further work | <p>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</p> <p>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</p> | <p>Further mapping, grid sampling, and ground radiometric studies are planned to delineate potential drill targets.</p> <p>There is not enough data for geological interpretations and drill planning at this time.</p> |

Note that JORC Sections 3 and 4 are not relevant at this early state of exploration.