



Manono Lithium and Tin Project Operational Update

Highlights:

- Definitive Feasibility Study 82% completed
- Dewatering at Roche Dure Pit enters final stages
- Positive results from Phase 2 metallurgical test work received
- AVZ Power negotiations regarding the Mpiana Mwanga HEP station continue positively
- Transport studies continue with new options emerging
- Discussions with Dathcom stakeholders advancing to increase current AVZ ownership in the project

AVZ Minerals Limited (ASX: AVZ, “the Company”) is pleased to provide an operational update on the Manono Lithium and Tin Project (“Manono Project”).

The Definitive Feasibility Study (“DFS”) is progressing well and remains on track to be delivered in Q1 2020. The DFS is currently 82% completed. Further information on sub-sections of the operations is provided below.

Pit Dewatering

Pit dewatering at Roche Dure is advancing well and continues. Two pumps have been operational for more than 85% of the time with the larger pump continuously pumping water from the Roche Dure pit. The smaller pump has assisted in areas where the larger pump could not access and as a result, excellent progress has been made as evidenced in the “before” and “after” images presented below (Figures 1 and 2).

Once dewatering of the pit is completed, AVZ intends to undertake further sampling of the pegmatite that is exposed in the pit floor to upgrade Mineral

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

31 December 2019

AVZ Minerals Limited

Level 2, 8 Colin Street,
West Perth WA 6005
Australia

T: + 61 8 6117 9397

F: + 61 8 6118 2106

E: admin@avzminerals.com.au

W: www.avzminerals.com

ABN 81 125 176 703

Directors:

Non-Executive Chairman: John Clarke

Managing Director: Nigel Ferguson

Technical Director: Graeme Johnston

Non-Executive Director: Rhett Brans

Non-Executive Director: Hongliang Chen

Non-Executive Director: Peter Huljich

Market Cap

\$100 M

ASX Code: AVZ

Resource categories from Inferred to Indicated and from Indicated to Measured. The time taken to conduct this extra sampling is not expected to affect the timeframe for the release of the DFS in Q1 2020.



Figure 1 & 2: Original water surface (left) and remaining water in the Roche Dure (right)

Metallurgical test results

A two-tonne bulk sample was crushed and homogenised to 32mm for further test work material supply. The resulting 10 variability subset samples were crushed and homogenised to 12.5mm with head assays received and aligning well with estimated assays from previous core assay determinations. All screened undersize materials were also assayed and provided further positive confirmation of assay work completed to date with the reported numbers aligning very well. These samples are now pending Heavy Liquid Separation (“HLS”) test work and will be reported in Q1, 2020 but will not impact the DFS timeline.

High Pressure Grinding Rolls (“HPGR”) performance test work has been undertaken on initial prepared material. The analysis indicates a 6mm screen would be suitable to produce a 3mm p80 product. However, it has been decided to relax this target for test purposes given the benefit of 5% additional mass to the Dense Media Separation (“DMS”) feed if screened at 8mm. A head assay received for the bulk composite aligns perfectly to assay estimates by interval. The head lithia assay is 1.66% lithia and 0.6% Fe₂O₃.

DMS feed preparation and optimisation work continues within schedule. Some work has been completed in late December and will be reported in January 2020. The following work is pending or partially completed but will be completed as scheduled for the DFS within Q1 2020:

- tin extraction from the coarse DMS concentrate;
- moisture determination; and -
- sample preparation and grind establishment.

Low Intensity Magnetic Separation (“LIMS”) has been completed with results now being prepared for reporting and as such, this section of the metallurgical test work for Phase 2 is complete. Further work to be undertaken in Q1 2020 includes flotation test work, rheology and thickening and tailings samples.

AVZ Power

AVZ Power is now fully incorporated and the in-country team has been having in-depth discussions at Ministerial level on the re-development of the Mpiana Mwanga hydro power facility located some 85km south-east of Manono. Several consultants have been to site to assess the necessary refurbishment in a staged manner to match the staged development of the Manono Project. The reports on these visits will be included in the DFS along with costings for the same. Progress has been excellent and AVZ is delighted with the outcome of discussions and visits so far.

Transport studies

A team of consultants have been investigating the Tazara and other railway systems in the region with a view to selecting the most suitable and cost effective method of transporting Manono's lithium products to port.

Further investigation of the Walvis Bay Corridor route is to be undertaken in January 2020 as a complimentary route to Angola and Tanzania. Walvis Bay is further in distance from Manono but is in very good condition, having just been completed and both the port and railway are under utilised at present, with the port only operating at 30 per cent capacity.



Figure 3: Mr Ferguson with Walvis Bay Port Authority Management



Figure 4: Mr Ferguson with NamibRail Management

Upon further investigation, it was also found that the SNCC railway within the DRC is operational between Tenke Fungurume and the port of Lobito in Angola. The railway is used to export copper products from the Kolwezi and Tenke Fungurume areas of the DRC.

The railway line within Angola was completed some four years ago and is of international standard. In order for AVZ to make use of this rail route, AVZ would need to upgrade approximately 220km of existing gravel road between Manono and the railhead north of Tenke Fungurume at Kabondo Dianda, during the construction phase of the Manono mine. This route presents as the simplest and most cost effective investigated to date.



Figure 5 & 6: SNCC Train at Kabondo Dianda Railway station some 200km from Manono

Utilising the port of Moba is no longer under consideration given the length and cost of rehabilitation to the road and port as required by AVZ.

In addition, the “Mitwaba” road between Likasi and Manono is nearing completion to a good quality, all weather gravel road level with a current transit time of about two days as compared to pre-refurbishment transit times of seven days. It is expected that completion of this road will coincide with the oncoming dry season in 2020. Stage 2 of the road development, asphaltting the surface, is expected to commence once the wet season is completed and any subsidence has been attended to.

Equity in the Manono Project

Favourable discussions continue with senior ministers on securing extra equity in the project from AVZ’s Joint Venture partners, La Congolaise D’Exploration Miniere and also with Dathomir Mining Resources SARL.

AVZ’s Managing Director, Nigel Ferguson said: “The Company remains on track to deliver its Definitive Feasibility Study in Q1 2020. We continue to investigate our export routes and are looking to secure DRC Government benefits by way of supportive tax incentives and exemptions early in 2020.

“Furthermore, we are in ongoing discussions with a number of interested parties around longer term partnerships involving offtake and debt financing. It is expected that the completion of the DFS will solidify these discussions into meaningful financing of the project.

“All in all, we achieved significant progress during 2019 and we look forward to another exciting 12 months ahead for our world-class Manono Project.”

This release was authorised by Mr Nigel Ferguson, Managing Director of AVZ Minerals Limited.

For further information, visit www.avzminerals.com.au or contact:

Mr. Leonard Math
Company Secretary
AVZ Minerals Limited
Phone: +61 8 6117 9397
Email: admin@avzminerals.com.au

Media Enquiries:
Mr. Peter Harris
Peter Harris & Associates
Phone: +61 (0) 412 124 833


Competent Persons Statement

The information in this report that relates to metallurgical test work results is based on, and fairly represents information compiled and reviewed by Mr Nigel Ferguson, a Competent Person who is a Fellow of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Ferguson is a Director of AVZ Minerals Limited. Mr Ferguson has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the “Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resource and Ore Reserves”. Mr Ferguson consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

JORC TABLE 1

<p>Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p><i>Sampling techniques</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> • <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> • <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i> • <i>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metallurgical samples: Comminution testwork consisting UCS, Bond Indices, SMC and Impact testing were conducted on Comm 1, Comm 2 and Comm 3. Comm1 sample representing the upper zone ore and Comm 2 and Comm 3 representing middle and deeper sections in the ore zone. • These samples consisted of continuous intervals of full PQ core and include spodumene containing and waste intervals identified from core logging records. • Specifically Comm 1 sample consists of approximately 15m from Met01, Comm 2 sample approximately 15m from Met02 and Comm 3 sample approximately 15m from Met03. • Comm sample weights were each 115kg. • Metallurgical samples: Spodumene concentrate testwork to date has been conducted using a single bulk composite, Bulk01, 200kg mass. • This composite was prepared from sub-samples of crushed intervals of full PQ drill core. Each approximate 1m interval was crushed to 25mm with a sub-sample submitted for analysis and a further sub-sample collected for the composite. Th final Bulk01 composite sample contained low gade and high grade intervals as well as waste intervals from 4 of the 5 met holes to target a grade close to expected mining lithium grade. • Specifically Bulk01 contains sub-samples from intervals originating from Met01, Met02, Met,03 and Met04. • All met hole core intervals were shipped to Nagrom laboratories in Australia. From here core has been shipped to ALS laboratories, Australia. • HLS test results reported in this release were conducted on sub-samples of Bulk01 with a head grade of 1.58% Li₂O. • Head grades have a reporting accuracy of ±0.1%.
<p><i>Drilling techniques</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drilling was completed using diamond drilling rigs with PQ used from surface to sample through weathered to fresh-rock and HQ sized drill rods used after the top-of-fresh-rock had been intersected. Most holes are angled between 50° and 75°. All collars were surveyed after completion. All holes were downhole surveyed using a digital multi-shot camera at about 30 m intervals. Apart from drillholes MO17DD001, MO17DD002, MO18DD001 and MO18DD008, all cores were orientated.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Drill sample recovery</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> • <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> • <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill core recovery attained >99% in the pegmatite. • Based upon the high recovery, AVZ did not have to implement additional measures to improve sample recovery and the drill core is considered representative and fit for sampling. • For the vast majority of drilling completed, core recovery was near 100% and there is no sample bias due to preferential loss or gain of fine or coarse material.
<i>Logging</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> • <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drillhole cores were logged by qualified geologists using a data-logger and the logs were then uploaded into Geobank which is a part of the Micromine software system. The cores were logged for geology and geotechnical properties (RQD & planar orientations). A complete copy of the data is held by an independent consultant. The parameters recorded in the logging are adequate to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation. • All cores were logged, and logging was by qualitative (lithology) and quantitative (RQD and structural features) methods. All cores were also photographed both in dry and wet states, with the photographs stored in the database. • The entire length of all drillholes were logged for geological, mineralogical and geotechnical data.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p><i>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cores were cut longitudinally in half and sampled at a nominal 1 m length. • All the exploration drilling was carried out using diamond core drilling. • The sample preparation for drillhole core samples incorporates standard industry practice. The half-core samples were prepared at ALS Lubumbashi and the ALS sample preparation facility on site at Manono, with holes from MO18DD021 onwards being prepared at Manono. • At AVZ's onsite sample preparation facility the half-core samples of approximately 4-5 kg are oven dried, crushed to -2 mm with a 500 g sub-sample being split off. This 500 g sub-sample is then pulverised to produce a pulp with 85% passing -75um size fraction. A 120 g subsample is then split from this. The certified reference material, blank and duplicate samples are inserted at appropriate intervals and then the complete sample batch is couriered to Australia for analysis. • Standard sub-sampling procedures are utilised by ALS Lubumbashi and ALS Manono at all stages of sample preparation such that each sub-sample split is representative of the whole it was derived from. • Duplicate sampling was undertaken for the drilling programme. After half-core samples were crushed at the ALS Lubumbashi and ALS Manono preparatory facility, an AVZ geologist took a split of the crushed sample which was utilised as a field duplicate. The geologist placed the split into a pre-numbered bag which was then inserted into the sample stream. It was then processed further, along with all the other samples. The drilling produced PQ and HQ drill core, providing a representative sample of the pegmatite which is coarse-grained. Sampling was mostly at 1 m intervals, and the submitted half-core samples typically had a mass of 3-4 kg.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diamond drillhole (core) samples were submitted to ALS Lubumbashi and ALS Manono (DRC) where they were crushed and pulverised to produce pulps. These pulps were couriered to Australia and analysed by ALS Laboratories in Perth, Western Australia using a sodium peroxide fusion of a 5g charge followed by digestion of the prill using dilute hydrochloric acid thence determination by AES or MS, i.e. methods ME-ICP89 and ME-MS91. Samples from the drilling completed in 2017 i.e. MO17DD001 and MO17DD002, were assayed for a suite of 24 elements that included Li, Sn, Ta & Nb. Samples from the drilling completed in 2018 were assayed for a suite of 12 elements; Li, Sn, Ta, Nb, Al, Si, K, Fe, Mg, P, Th and U, with Li reported as Li₂O, Al as Al₂O₃, Si as SiO₂, K as K₂O, Mg as MgO, Fe as Fe₂O₃ and P as P₂O₅. • Peroxide fusion results in the complete digestion of the sample into a molten flux. As fusion digestions are more aggressive than acid digestion methods, they are suitable for many refractory, difficult-to-dissolve minerals such as chromite, ilmenite, spinel, cassiterite and minerals of the tantalum-tungsten solid solution series. They also provide a more-complete digestion of some silicate mineral species and are considered to provide the most reliable determinations of lithium mineralisation. • Sodium peroxide fusion is a total digest and considered the preferred method of assaying pegmatite samples. • For the drilling, AVZ incorporated standard QAQC procedures to monitor the precision, accuracy and general reliability of all assay results from assays of drilling samples. As part of AVZ's sampling protocol, CRMs (standards), blanks and duplicates were inserted into the sampling stream. In addition, the laboratory (ALS Perth) incorporated its own internal QAQC procedures to monitor its assay results prior to release of results to AVZ. The Competent Person is satisfied that the results of the QAQC are acceptable and that the assay data from ALS is suitable for Mineral Resource estimation. • AVZ utilised Nagrom in Perth for external laboratory checks to compare results received from ALS Perth. The Competent Person is satisfied that the results from the umpire laboratory are acceptable and that the assay data from ALS is suitable for Mineral Resource estimation. • Geophysical instruments were not used in assessing the mineralisation.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MSA observed the mineralisation in the majority of cores on site, although no check assaying was completed by MSA. MSA observed and photographed several collar positions in the field, along with rigs that were drilling at the time of the site visit. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Twinned holes for the verification of historical drilling, were not required. Short vertical historical holes were drilled within the pit but are neither accessible nor included within the database used to define the Mineral Resource. Drilling data is stored on site as both hard and soft copy. Drilling data is validated onsite before being sent to data management consultants in Perth where the data is further validated. When results are received they are loaded to the central database in Perth and shared with various stakeholders via the cloud. QC results are reviewed by both independent consultants and AVZ personnel at Manono. Hard copies of assay certificates are stored in AVZ's Perth offices. AVZ has not adjusted any assay data.
<i>Location of data points</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The drillhole collars have been located by a registered surveyor using a Hi-Target V30 Trimble differential GPS with an accuracy of +/- 0.02 m. All holes were downhole surveyed using a digital multi-shot camera at approximately 30 m intervals, except MET02 and MET03 which were drilled vertically. AVZ provided high resolution topographic contours, surveyed at 50 cm elevation differences. . For the purposes of geological modelling and estimation, the drillhole collars were projected onto this topographic surface. In most cases adjustments were within 1 m (in elevation). Coordinates are relative to WGS 84 UTM Zone 35M.
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drillhole were completed on sections 100 m apart, and collars were 50 to 100 m apart on section where possible. In situations of difficult terrain, multiple holes were drilled from a single drill pad using differing angles for each drillhole. In the Competent Person's opinion, the spacing is sufficient to establish geological and grade continuity consistent with Measured, Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources. Samples were composited to 1 m intervals, since it was the most occurring sample length.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> • <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drillhole orientation was designed to intersect the Roche Dure Pegmatite at, or nearly at, 90° to the plane of the pegmatite. • No material sampling bias exists due to drilling direction.
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When utilizing ALS Lubumbashi, chain of custody was maintained by AVZ personnel on-site to Lubumbashi. Samples were stored on-site until they were delivered by AVZ personnel in sealed bags to the laboratory at ALS in Lubumbashi. The ALS laboratory checked the received samples against the sample dispatch form and issued a reconciliation report. • At Lubumbashi, the prepared samples (pulp) were sealed in a box and delivered by DHL to ALS Perth. • ALS issued a reconciliation of each sample batch, actual received vs documented dispatch. • The ALS Manono site preparation facility was managed independently by ALS who supervised the sample preparation. Prepared samples were sealed in boxes and transported by air to ALS Lubumbashi and were accompanied by an AVZ employee, where export documentation and formalities were concluded. DHL couriered the samples to ALS in Perth.
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sampling techniques were reviewed by the Competent Person during the site visit. • The Competent Person considers that the exploration work conducted by AVZ was carried out using appropriate techniques for the style of mineralisation at Roche Dure, and that the resulting database is suitable for Mineral Resource estimation.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the previous section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> • <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Manono licence was awarded as Research Permit PR13359, issued on the 28th December 2016 to La Congolaise d'Exploitation Miniere SA (Cominiere). It is valid for 5 years. On the 2nd February 2017, AVZ formed a joint-venture (JV) with Cominiere and Dathomir Mining Resources SARL (Dathomir) to become the majority partner in a JV aiming to explore and develop the pegmatites contained within PR 13359. Ownership of the Manono Lithium Project is AVZ 60%, Cominiere 30% and Dathomir 10%. • All indigenous title is cleared and there are no other known historical or environmentally sensitive areas.
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within PR13359, exploration of relevance was undertaken by Gecamines which completed a programme of drilling between 1949 and 1951. The drilling consisted of 42 vertical holes drilled to a general depth of around 50 - 60 m. Drilling was carried out on 12 sections at irregular intervals ranging from 50 - 300 m, and over a strike length of some 1,100 m. Drill spacing on the sections varied from 50 - 100 m. The drilling occurred in the Roche Dure Pit only, targeting the fresh pegmatite in the Kitotolo sector of the project area. • The licence area has previously been mined for tin and tantalum through a series of open pits over a total length of approximately 10 km excavated by Zairetain SPRL. More than 60 Mt of material was mined from three major pits and several subsidiary pits focused on the weathered upper portions of the pegmatites. Ore was crushed and then upgraded through gravity separation to produce a concentrate of a reported 72% Sn. There are no reliable records available of tantalum or lithium recovery as tin was the primary mineral being recovered. • Apart from the mining excavations and the drilling programme, there has been very limited exploration work within the Manono region.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Project lies within the mid-Proterozoic Kibaran Belt - an intracratonic domain, stretching for over 1,000 km through Katanga and into southwest Uganda. The belt strikes predominantly SW-NE and is truncated by the N-S to NNW-SSE trending Western Rift system. The Kibaran Belt is comprised of a sedimentary and volcanic sequence that has been folded, metamorphosed and intruded by at least three separate phases of granite. The latest granite phase (900 to 950 million years ago) is assigned to the Katangan cycle and is associated with widespread vein and pegmatite mineralisation containing tin, tungsten, tantalum, niobium, lithium and beryllium. Deposits of this type occur as clusters and are widespread throughout the Kibaran terrain. In the DRC, the Katanga Tin Belt stretches over 500 km from near Kolwezi in the southwest to Kalemie in the northeast comprising numerous occurrences and deposits of which the Manono deposit is the largest. The geology of the Manono area is poorly documented and no reliable maps of local geology were observed. Recent mapping by AVZ has augmented the overview provided by Bassot and Morio (1989) and has led to the following description. The Manono Project pegmatites are hosted by a series of mica schists and by amphibolite in some locations. These host rocks have a steeply dipping penetrative foliation that appears to be parallel to bedding. There are numerous bodies of pegmatite, the largest of which have sub-horizontal to moderate dips, with dip direction being towards the southeast. The pegmatites post-date metamorphism, with all primary igneous textures intact. They cross-cut the host rocks but despite their large size, the contact deformation and metasomatism of the host rocks by the intrusion of the pegmatites seems minor. The absence of significant deformation of the schistosity of the host rocks implies that the pegmatites intruded brittle rocks. The pegmatites constitute a pegmatite swarm in which the largest pegmatites have an apparent en-echelon arrangement in a linear zone more than 12 km long. The pegmatites are exposed in two areas; Manono in the northeast, and Kitotolo in the southwest. These areas are separated by a 2.5 km section of alluvium-filled floodplain which contains Lake Lukushi. At least one large pegmatite extends beneath the floodplain. The pegmatites are members of the LCT-Rare Element group of pegmatites and within the pegmatite swarm there are LCT albite-spodumene pegmatites and LCT Complex (spodumene sub-type) pegmatites.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar ○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar ○ dip and azimuth of the hole ○ down hole length and interception depth ○ hole length. • If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See table in Appendix 1.
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. • The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exploration Results are not reported, therefore no data was aggregated for reporting purposes. • No equivalent values are used or reported.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. • If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exploration Results are not reported. • There is no relationship between mineralisation width and grade. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The geometry of the mineralisation is reasonably well understood however the pegmatite is not of uniform thickness nor orientation. Consequently, most drilling intersections do not represent the exact true thickness of the intersected pegmatite, although intersections are reasonably close to true thickness in most cases.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The relevant plans and sections are included in this document and in Appendix 2.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exploration Results are not reported.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No other exploration data is available.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> • <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diamond drill testing beneath the pit will be carried once the pit has been drained of water. • Further mining studies are planned.