

**ASX Announcement | ASX: CPM**

15 September 2022

**King Solomon continues to deliver significant Cu-Au**

Cooper Metals Limited (ASX: CPM) is pleased to announce the first batch of assay results for recent RC drilling at King Solomon copper-gold prospect near Mount Isa

**Highlights**

- Building on from the successful maiden drilling program in June, where drilling intersected an excellent result of 17m @ 2.2% Cu from 84m including 8m @ 4.3% Cu from 84m (22MERC016), assay results for eleven of the twenty-seven RC drill holes have been received
- King Solomon 1 copper-gold mineralisation has been extended to the north, south and down dip, with remaining assays pending to confirm continuity
- Large IOCG system indicated from broad zones of low grade copper mineralisation, magnetite and pyrite alteration with higher grade core centred around the host shear zone
- New significant higher grade intersections at King Solomon 1 include:
  - 19m @ 1.6% Cu & 0.21g/t Au from 123m, incl. 5m @ 4.5% Cu & 0.57g/t Au (22MERC032)
  - 7m @ 1.5% Cu & 0.16g/t Au from 123m incl. 4m @ 2.2% Cu & 0.26g/t Au (22MERC031)
  - 14m @ 1.0% Cu & 0.09g/t Au from 76m incl. 4m @ 2.1% Cu & 0.23g/t Au (22MERC023)
  - 5m @ 1.1% Cu & 0.15g/t Au from 112m (22MERC023)
  - 10m @ 1.0% Cu & 0.04g/t Au from 50m incl. 3m @ 2.1% Cu & 0.08g/t Au (22MERC028)
  - 3m @ 1.2% Cu & 0.1g/t Au from 91m incl. 1m @ 2.7% Cu & 0.21 g/t Au (22MERC030)
  - 2m @ 1.6% Cu & 0.05g/t Au from 86m (22MERC022)
  - 1m @ 1.3% Cu from 107m (22MERC029)

**Managing Director Ian Warland, commented:**

*"King Solomon continues to deliver outstanding copper-gold intercepts along a well-defined shear zone traced for over 700m in strike length. Mineralisation has been intersected to over 100m deep and is open at depth. The IP is proving to be an invaluable guide to IOCG style of mineralisation found at King Solomon. With just under half the drill holes assayed to date and the laboratory processing the rest of the samples as a priority, we expect to update the market shortly."*




**Plate 1: RC chips with strong chalcopyrite mineralisation 22MERC032 (133m to 134m)**





**Cooper Metals Limited (ASX: CPM) ("CPM" or "the Company")** is pleased to announce the assay results for the King Solomon 1 copper-gold prospect from the recent maiden RC drilling program at the Mt Isa East Copper Gold Project in northwestern Queensland (**Figure 1 and Figure 7**).



**Figure 1: King Solomon 1 summary plan of drilling results**





### King Solomon 1 RC drilling results

Twenty RC drill holes were drilled at King Solomon 1 to test IP anomalies and to extend mineralisation intersected in the June 2022 maiden drilling program. The August drilling program has successfully extended Cu-Au mineralisation along strike in both directions and down dip. Drilling extended Cu-Au mineralisation at least 70m along strike to the SSE with hole 22MERC032 intersecting **19m @ 1.6% Cu and 0.21g/t Au from 123m, including 5m @ 4.5% Cu and 0.57g/t Au (Figure 7).**

Importantly, drill hole 22MERC023 intersected **14m @ 1.0% Cu & 0.09g/t Au from 76m including 4m @ 2.1% Cu & 0.23g/t Au (22MERC023)** some 170m NNW of previously reported intercept in 22MERC015. Cu-Au mineralisation has now been intersected over **650m of strike length** at King Solomon 1 with grade continuity to be confirmed with outstanding assays still in the laboratory. Of the twenty drill holes drilled into King Solomon 1 in August, seven have had assays received and are presented below and in **(Figure 1).**

**Significant new intersections at King Solomon 1 assays received to date include:**

- **19m @ 1.6% Cu & 0.21g/t Au from 123m, incl. 5m @ 4.5% Cu & 0.57g/t Au (22MERC032)**
- **7m @ 1.5% Cu & 0.16g/t Au from 123m incl. 4m @ 2.2% Cu & 0.26g/t Au (22MERC031)**
- **14m @ 1.0% Cu & 0.09g/t Au from 76m incl. 4m @ 2.1% Cu & 0.23g/t Au (22MERC023)**
- **5m @ 1.1% Cu & 0.15g/t Au from 112m (22MERC023)**
- **10m @ 1.0% Cu & 0.04g/t Au from 50m incl. 3m @ 2.1% Cu & 0.08g/t Au (22MERC028)**
- **3m @ 1.2% Cu & 0.1g/t Au from 91m incl. 1m @ 2.7% Cu & 0.21g/t Au (22MERC030)**
- **2m @ 1.6% Cu & 0.05g/t Au from 86m (22MERC022)**
- **1m @ 1.3% Cu from 107m (22MERC029)**

RC drilling has continued to outline a well-developed NNW trending sub-vertical to steeply dipping structural zone of copper and gold mineralisation potentially continuous over a strike length of approximately 650m, down to a maximum depth of approximately 120m (indicated in hole 22MERC032) **(Figure 2).**


The high-grade mineralisation (> 1% Cu) is hosted within sheared siltstones of the Corella Formation and is associated with quartz-carbonate alteration. The low-grade mineralised envelope (>0.2% Cu) varies in downhole thickness (commonly >10m) and often has peripheral albite, hematite to magnetite red rock alteration typical of some IOCG deposits.

Importantly the gold grade tends to increase with the copper grade, getting up to 1m @ 1.65g/t in 22MERC032, and frequently averaging >0.2g/t Au in the higher-grade core. All reported mineralisation is associated with sulphides.

Cross sections 1 to 4 presented in **Figures 4 to 7** show the interpreted mineralisation between historical workings near surface and Cooper's recent RC drilling. A full list of drilling intercepts for King Solomon 1 appears in Appendix 1 and the JORC table in Appendix 2.

### King Solomon Drill Program Overview

Twenty-seven RC drill holes for 3,463m were drilled at King Solomon Cu-Au prospect in August **(Figure 2 & 3).** Drill holes were drilled to a maximum downhole depth of 208m and an average downhole depth of 127m. The Company has now completed a total of forty-eight holes for 5,110m at King Solomon prospect in 2022. Of the forty-eight drill holes, thirty-two of the drill holes were completed over King Solomon 1, covering a strike length of approximately 710m.




**Figure 2: King Solomon 1 Long Section**

### King Solomon 2 and 3

King Solomon 2 and 3 prospects are along strike to the SSE of King Solomon 1 (Figure 3). Only six of the twenty eight holes drilled in the August program tested IP and geochemical anomalies at King Solomon 2 and 3. Encouragingly broad low grade zones of copper mineralisation were intersected in several holes, along with significant disseminated magnetite and pyrite alteration that may partially explain the IP anomalies and potentially indicate a large IOCG system at depth.

Drillhole 22MERC024 intersected 98m @ 0.1% Cu from 25m with a higher 37m @ 0.2% Cu from 63m associated with disseminated pyrite and magnetite, and hole 22MERC025 located approximately 60m to the south intersected patchy low grade copper mineralisation including 41m @ 0.1% Cu from 94m along with disseminated pyrite and magnetite.

Hole 22MERC026 testing an IP anomaly intersected a narrow vein of copper mineralisation, 12m @ 0.24% Cu from 41m including 1m @ 1.4% Cu before entering a zone of strong magnetite alteration coincident with the IP anomaly. Drillhole 22MERC019A intercepted 4m @ 1% Cu from 78m including 1m @ 3.3% Cu testing beneath a mineralised outcrop and a weak IP anomaly. Assay results are pending from 22MERC044 and 22MERC045.



**Figure 3: King Solomon 2 and 3 drill hole location over IP chargeability image**





## Next Steps

- Receive outstanding assay result for King Solomon Prospect and plan and execute follow up exploration programs.

The Board of Cooper Metals Limited has approved this announcement and authorised its release on the ASX.

## For further information:

Ian Warland  
Managing Director  
[ian@coopermetals.com.au](mailto:ian@coopermetals.com.au)  
M: 0410 502 272

Jane Morgan  
Investor & Media Relations  
[jm@janemorganmanagement.com.au](mailto:jm@janemorganmanagement.com.au)  
M: 0405 555 618

## COMPETENT PERSON'S STATEMENT:

*The information in this report that relates to **Geological Interpretation and Exploration Results** is based on information compiled by Ian Warland, a Competent Person who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Warland is employed by Cooper Metals Limited. Mr Warland has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Warland consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information and the form and context in which it appears.*

## Reference

1. ASX CPM: 23 June 2022: Significant shallow copper mineralisation discovered at King Solomon
2. ASX CPM: 12 July 2022: IP identifies new targets at King Solomon Cu-Au prospect




Figure 4: Section 1 King Solomon 1




Figure 5: Section 2 King Solomon 1







Figure 6: Section 3 King Solomon 1









## About Cooper Metals Limited

Cooper Metals Ltd (ASX: CPM) is an ASX-listed explorer with a focus on copper and gold exploration. CPM aims to build shareholder wealth through discovery of mineral deposits. The Company has three projects all in proven mineralised terrains with access to infrastructure. The Projects are detailed briefly below:

### **Mt Isa East Project (Qld)**

Cooper Metal's flag ship Mt Isa East Cu-Au Project covers ~1300 sq.km of tenure with numerous historical Cu-Au workings and prospects already identified for immediate follow up exploration. The Mt Isa Inlier is highly prospective for iron oxide copper gold (IOCG), iron sulphide copper gold (ISCG) and shear hosted Cu +/- Au deposits.

### **Yamarna Gold Project (WA)**

The Yamarna Gold Project located along strike from Gold Roads 6.16 Mozz world class Gruyere Gold Deposit (ASX: GOR) has an extensive length of untested Dorothy Hills Shear Zone that was important in the formation of Gruyere gold deposit located ~10 km to the southeast of Cooper's tenements.

### **Gooroo Project (WA)**

Lastly the Gooroo Cu and or Au Project covers newly identified greenstone belt ~20 km from Silver Lakes (ASX: SLR) Deflector mine. The 26 km expanse of covered greenstone belt has had almost no exploration and was only added to government geology maps in 2020 after reinterpretation of geophysical data.

[www.coopermetals.com.au](http://www.coopermetals.com.au)


**Appendix 1: Drill hole Location table, King Solomon Prospect**

Holeid	Easting	Northing	Total Depth (m)	AZI (mag)	DIP	Depth From (m)	Interval (m)	Cu%	Au (g/t)	Comment
22MERC022	376080	7711352	118	230.51	-60	86	2	1.6	0.05	
						incl: 87	1	2.0	0.06	
22MERC023	376100	7711306	124	230.93	-62	76	14	1.0	0.09	
						incl: 77	4	2.1	0.23	
						incl:85	2	1.5	0.07	
						94	2	1.7	0.07	
						incl: 94	1	3.1	0.13	
						112	5	1.1	0.15	
						incl: 113	2	2.0	0.32	
22MERC024	376567	7710543	130	238.02	-62	63	37	0.2	0.01	
22MERC025	376496	7710434	208	52.83	-57	94	4	0.2	0.003	
						incl: 95	1	0.4	0.004	
22MERC026	376566	7710315	160	261.85	-56	41	12	0.24	0.00	
						incl: 42	1	1.4	0.01	
22MERC019A	376615	7710209	102	245.31	-61	78	4	1.0	0.02	
						incl: 81	1	3.3	0.05	
22MERC027	376262	7711144	76	233.01	-61					failed hole
22MERC028	376259	7711115	142	233.77	-60	101	10	1.0	0.04	
						incl: 103	3	2.1	0.08	
22MERC029	376313	7711021	130	232.83	-59	107	3	0.7	0.05	
						incl: 107	1	1.3	0.08	
22MERC030	376359	7710939	130	230.89	-60	91	3	1.2	0.10	
						incl: 92	1	2.7	0.21	
22MERC031	376400	7710847	154	232.7	-59	107	7	1.5	0.16	
						incl: 107	4	2.2	0.26	
22MERC032	376428	7710797	160	231.67	-59	123	19	1.6	0.21	
						incl: 132	5	4.5	0.57	
22MERC033	376378	7710893	136	230.51	-60					assays pending
22MERC034	376436	7710732	136	232.41	-60					assays pending
22MERC035	376169	7711182	106	219.78	-61					assays pending
22MERC036	376232	7711159	160	230.04	-60					assays pending
22MERC037	376246	7711139	178	237.715	-66					assays pending
22MERC038	376288	7711070	130	234.89	-61					assays pending
22MERC039	376337	7710984	136	235.86	-60					assays pending
22MERC040	376102	7711340	14	234.42	-60					hole abandoned
22MERC041	376123	7711296	178	237.31	-60					assays pending
22MERC042	376131	7711264	118	236.86	-60					assays pending
22MERC043	376137	7711227	130	231.19	-60					assays pending
22MERC044	376551	7710382	65	277.545	-59					assays pending
22MERC045	376572	7710391	148	61.57	-55					assays pending
22MERC046	376159	7711057	124	41.98	-60					assays pending
22MERC047	376405	7710786	70	231.28	-59					Failed hole

Note: coordinates are in GDA 94 , zone 54

- The mineralised interval may contain internal dilution of 4m.



**APPENDIX 2: The following tables are provided to ensure compliance with JORC Code (2012) requirements for exploration results for the Mt Isa East Project in Qld.**

**1.1. Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data to update**

1.2. (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample retrospectivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<p><b>CPM Drill program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This release relates to drill holes 22MERC022 through to 22MERC047 and 22MERC019A</li> <li>This release provides assay results for the first 11 of the 27 holes drilled during August 2022 at the King Solomon Cu-Au prospect.</li> <li>The King Solomon prospect has been drilled and sampled by reverse circulation (RC) methods with holes on variable spacings consistent with early-stage reconnaissance exploration. The prospects have been drilled by Cooper Metals Ltd and includes 28 holes for a total of 3,463m of drilling. The August drilling was completed by Eagle Drilling Pty Ltd.</li> </ul> <p><b>Sample Representativity</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Initial shallow drilling was undertaken to identify near surface mineralisation indicated by a number of historically worked pits. Most holes are oriented appropriately to give optimal sample representivity, drilled mostly perpendicular to the interpreted strike of the mineralised body and oriented towards the dip the target mineralised horizon/structure. None-the-less, downhole widths will in most instances not represent true widths.</li> <li>Drilling also targeted induced polarisation targets</li> <li>RC drilling techniques returned samples through a fully enclosed cyclone setup with sample return routinely collected in 1m intervals approximating 20kg of sample. 1m interval RC samples were homogenized and collected by a static riffle splitter to produce a representative 2-3kg sub-sample (~12.5% of sample weight);</li> <li>A Olympus Delta and Vanta portable XRF is available at the drill rig to aid geological interpretation. No XRF results are reported for drilling.</li> <li>RC samples were submitted to ALS, submitted in Mount Isa, Qld.</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g., core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The August drilling is being completed using a UDR650 rotary drill rig, with maximum air 500psi/1250cfm was used to drill holes reported herein.</li> <li>Drilling diameter is 5.5-inch RC hammer.</li> <li>Face sampling bits are used.</li> <li>RC holes range from 14m to 208m, averaging 128m</li> </ul>





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample recovery, moisture content and contamination are noted in a Toughbook computer by CPM field personnel.</li> <li>Drill contractors and CPM personnel monitor sample recovery, size and moisture, making appropriate adjustments as required to maintain sample quality, such as using compressed air to keep samples dry.</li> <li>A cone splitter is mounted beneath the cyclone to ensure representative samples are collected.</li> <li>The cyclone and cone splitter are cleaned as necessary to minimise contamination.</li> <li>No significant sample loss, contamination or bias has been noted in the current drilling.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Geological logging has been routinely undertaken by suitably qualified geologists on all RC holes along the entire length of the hole recording lithology, mineralogy, veining, alteration, weathering, structure, and other sample features as appropriate to the style of deposit. Observations were recorded in a Toughbook computer appropriate to the drilling and sample return method and is quantitative, based on visual field estimates.</li> <li>Observations were recorded appropriate to the sample type based on visual field estimates of sulphide content and sulphide mineral species.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>During the logging process Copper Metals Ltd routinely retained representative samples (stored in chip trays) for future reference. The RC chip trays are photographed and electronically stored.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Every metre sample of RC drilling is logged by the geologist on site. For each metre RC chips are sieved and washed before logging by a geologist.</li> <li>Observations were recorded appropriate to the sample type based on visual field estimates.</li> <li>An estimate of visual sulphide content is included in this release, see main body of report Appendix 2 for details.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RC samples are collected at 1m intervals in prenumbered calico bags (downhole metre value) via the cone splitter underneath the cyclone on the drill rig.</li> <li>RC samples are selected for analysis by CPM geologist based on the observed geology such as the presence of sulphides and or alteration minerals including quartz, actinolite, albite, and carbonate veining and guided by portable XRF machine where analysis of each 1m sample has &gt;1000ppm copper. Nominally 5, 1m samples are taken above and below the mineralised zone. Sample intervals may contain zones of internal dilution less than 1000ppm Cu.</li> <li>1m samples selected for laboratory analysis are placed inside prenumbered calico bags, then placed in labelled polyweave bags for transport to ALS Mount Isa by CPM personnel.</li> <li>Sample preparation is undertaken at the</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>laboratory.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RC samples are prepared at ALS in Mount Isa, use method PUL23 samples to 3kg are pulverised to 85% passing 75 microns.</li> <li>CPM field QC procedure include the use of certified reference standards ~ (1:100), duplicates (1:50), blanks (1:100) at appropriate interval considered for early exploration stage. High, low and medium gold and base metal standards are used.</li> <li>Both laboratories introduce QAQC samples and complete duplicate check assays on a routine basis</li> <li>Duplicates are collected by CPM personnel with the use of a sample spear.</li> <li>Field QC is checked after analysis.</li> <li>Sample size is considered appropriate to the material sampled.</li> <li>The remaining 'reject' drill sample (weighing ~20 - 30kg) is left on the ground in 1m piles laid out in sequence from the top of the hole to the end of the hole until assay results have been received A sample is sieved from the reject material and retained in chip trays for geological logging and future reference and stored at the company's offices in Mount Isa.</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A Olympus Delta and Vanta portable XRF is available at the drill rig to aid geological interpretation. No XRF results are reported for drilling.</li> <li>RC samples were analysed by ALS, submitted in Mount Isa, Qld. A ~3kg sample was pulverised to produce a 50g charge for fire assay and ICP-AES (ICP22) finish. A four acid digest was used for digestion with a ICP finish (ME-ICP61) to assay for Ag, AL, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, La, Mb, Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb, S, Sb, Sc, Sr, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Zn</li> <li>The Lab utilises standard internal quality control measures including the use of internal Standards, Control Blanks and duplicates/repeats at a rate of 1 in 30 samples.</li> </ul>
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Higher grade mineralisation intercepts were observed and verified by Cooper Metals personnel.</li> <li>A complete record of logging, sampling and assays were stored within an Access Database including digital assay sheets obtained from ALS.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No specific twinning program has been conducted, given the early-stage of the project.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The assay data has been validated against the logging for all RC holes and were directly input onto electronic spread sheets and validated by the database manager. All data is digitally recorded</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adjustments to the data.</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A hand-held GPS has been used to determine all collar locations initially.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>• Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Collars were surveyed using a differential GPS after the drill program finished (Leica GPS Geodetic)</li> <li>• The grid system is MGA_GDA94, zone 54 for easting, northing and RL.</li> <li>• Down hole surveying is routinely employed through the drilling campaign. All RC holes in August drilling campaign were surveyed using a EZ-Gyro (Sprint) with reading taken at least every 30m downhole.</li> <li>• RL of the collar is taken from the differential GPS (sub metre accuracy). GDA94 Zone 54.</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drill spacing is determined by the stage of exploration of the prospect. The prospect has been drilled with a wide drill hole spacing required at this stage to determine the merit of the prospect and produce a reliable interval.</li> <li>• No sample compositing has been applied to the data.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The drillhole spacing is appropriate for early stage exploration only, and not considered sufficient for Resource or Reserve estimation.</li> <li>• The true thickness, grade continuity along strike and down dip is unknown at this time and will require more detailed drilling.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No sample compositing applied.</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>• If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The drilling is oriented as best as possible to perpendicular to the structure/geology containing or controlling the observed mineralisation based on projections from surface outcrops and guided by IP response.</li> <li>• Generally, the orientation is considered appropriate. No sampling bias is considered to have been introduced, however the geological model is still evolving, and localised orientation of mineralisation may vary along strike.</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sample security adopted by Cooper Metals Ltd was based on responsibility and documentation of site personal with the appropriate experience and knowledge to maintain sample chain of custody protocols from site to lab.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No audits or reviews undertaken.</li> </ul>





## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Mt Isa East project is centred around 50 km south-east of Mount Isa. The drilling reported here took place at the King Solomon prospect which are located within EPM 27700.</li> <li>The tenements (specifically EPM 27700) referred to in this release are held jointly by Revolution Mining Pty Ltd (15%) and Cooper Metals Ltd (85%).</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The tenements are secure under Qld legislation.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The historical tenure reports indicated that several companies have explored the project area over the last 50 years. Exploration has mainly consisted of geochemical sampling of rock and soil. Geological mapping and acquisition of airborne magnetics. Limited historical drilling is recorded within the Qld Government database "GeoResGlobe."</li> <li>At the King Solomon prospect, several old workings strike over a length of 1.5 km. Past production from the King Solomon Group is quoted as producing 894 tonnes at 5.3% Cu with a further 2195 tonnes of cupriferous limestone flux at 2.3% Cu.</li> <li>There has been limited previous exploration of copper-gold mineralisation has occurred on the prospect. Reconnaissance mapping and soil and rock chip geochemical sampling programs were undertaken by Aberfoyle Resources Ltd explored the King Solomon prospect area under EPM 10123 from 1994 to 1995. Eastern Copper Mines NL in 1996 Chinalco in 2014 and then by Hammer Metals in 2016.</li> <li>First pass geochemical sampling (rock chip) was conducted by Cooper Metals under the current tenure in 2021.</li> <li>A fixed loop ground electromagnetic survey (FLEM) was undertaken in early 2022.</li> <li>An Induced Polarisation survey was completed in July 2022</li> <li>The work resulted in the identification of preliminary drill targets at King Solomon.</li> <li>Drill holes 22MERC001 to 22MERC021 were drilled at King Solomon in May-June 2021</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting, and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Mt Isa East Project is located within the Mt Isa Inlier. The EPM 27700 tenement straddles a major geological boundary between the Kalkadoon-Leichhardt Belt to the west and the Eastern Fold Belt to the east.</li> <li>At the King Solomon prospect is centred on several old workings defining a strongly mineralised zone of stratabound copper-gold (the King Solomon Trend) which strikes over a length of 1.5 km. The mineralisation is within the lower Corella</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>Formation close to the contact with the underlying Ballara Quartzite. Conceptually, the mineralisation occurs within a highly prospective sequence of the Corella Formation, particularly the more dolomitic parts of the sequences. The presence of a small intrusion of the younger Burstall Granite indicates that heat may have been available for the mobilisation of substantial volumes of hydrothermal metal-bearing fluids.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At surface, the mineralisation is associated with calcite lodes and quartz veins hosting copper carbonates (malachite and azurite) and chalcocite.</li> <li>The adopted exploration model for the Mt Isa East tenements targets the IOCG model and low-tonnage, high grade, shear-hosted deposits.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Appendix 1 of this release</li> </ul>
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aggregate intercepts were calculated using a 0.2% copper cut off with internal dilution up to 2m.</li> <li>Aggregate intercept grades are &gt; 0.2% copper</li> <li>No metal equivalents used in this release</li> </ul>
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The azimuth and dip data for all holes is presented in Appendix 1. Most holes have been drilled at angles approximating -60° dip on the interpretation of steeply dipping mineralised horizon and approximately perpendicular to the strike of the mapped mineralised zone.</li> <li>At King Solomon 1 the mineralisation appears to dip sub vertically.</li> <li>Downhole widths are reported in this release, true widths are not definitively known and likely to be less than the true width</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A collar plan of all collar locations are provided in the main body of this announcement</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced avoiding misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All exploration copper and gold results have been reported the first 11 of 27 drillholes at King Solomon prospect</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Considerable historical work was completed with mapping sampling and geophysics This work needs further review.</li> <li>Assay results from the drilling will be reported on receipt of the results</li> </ul>
<b>Further work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Early-stage exploration and follow-up of identified Cu and Au anomalies including additional interpretation of geophysical data, reviews and assessments of regional targets, and infill geochemical sampling of ranked anomalies in preparation for future drill testing.</li> <li>Cooper Metals Ltd plans to continue to assess the King Solomon Prospect for Cu-Au potential with possible testing of deeper and laterally distal extensions of the copper mineralisation successfully intersected in the current program. Refer main body of the report.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Refer to the figures in this report.</li> </ul>