

11 May 2022

## **RESULTS FROM DRILLING AT FORREST COPPER AND FEATHER CAP GOLD PROJECTS, WA**

### **Highlights**

- **Positive results from Air Core drilling completed at the Forrest Copper Project and Durack East Gold Prospect, include:**
  - 5m @ 0.18% Cu from 45m – FTAC0021
  - 5m @ 0.12% Cu from 55m – FTAC0037
  - 9m @ 0.11% Cu from 36m – FTAC0038
  - 5m @ 0.56g/t Au from 10m and 5m @ 0.63g/t Au from 20m – FTAC0054
  - 5m @ 0.55g/t Au from 65m – DEAC0105
  - 5m @ 0.60g/t Au from 100m -DEAC0106
- **New copper/gold target identified at the Forrest Project requiring further evaluation**
- **Forrest Copper Project contains an existing JORC Resource of 2.4 Mt @ 1.7% Cu for 41,500t copper metal**
- **Infill Air Core drilling to 200m line spacing required at Durack East Prospect to further evaluate mineralised trend for potential high-grade gold mineralisation**
- **Exploration completed to date continues to support the potential existence of an anomalous gold zone stretching 6.2km between the Morck Well and Feather Cap projects**

Gold and Base Metals explorer **Auris Minerals Limited** (“Auris” or “the Company”) (ASX: AUR) is pleased to report gold and copper results returned from recently completed Air Core drilling at the Company’s Forrest Copper Project and the Feather Cap Gold Project in the Bryah Basin, Western Australia.

### **FORREST PROJECT**

During March, a total of 60 Air Core drill holes were completed (FTAC0001-0060, Refer ASX Announcement 24 March 2022) for approximately 4,186m to further evaluate select regional targets located outside of the existing JORC resource, (Refer ASX Announcement 2 July 2021).

#### **Regional targets tested within the Forrest Copper Project comprised:**

- Significant copper/gold drill intercepts within previous Air Core drilling,
- Chargeability/conductivity targets identified by previously completed IP surveying; and/or
- Structural targets with similarities to the DeGrussa Copper Deposit.

All significant results returned from the recent Air Core drilling at the Forrest Project are tabulated below in Table 1.

Table 1: Forrest Project Significant Copper/Gold Air Core Drilling Intersections

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Intersection			
				Cu (ppm)	Au (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	Pb (ppm)
FTAC0021	45	50	5	1800	<0.01	34.3	221
FTAC0037	55	60	5	1160	<0.01	52.9	173
FTAC0038	36	45	9	1077	<0.01	9.5	91
FTAC0047	25	30	5	12.8	0.17	5.7	163
FTAC0050	40	46	6	330.5	0.34	25.6	86
FTAC0051	10	15	5	294	0.15	6	22
	34	40	6	1563	0.05	45	230
FTAC0053	50	55	5	18	0.144	3.1	103
FTAC0054	10	15	5	131	0.56	5.9	85
	20	25	5	51.2	0.626	4	96
FTAC0054	30	35	5	131	0.202	4.9	95

An encouraging copper result of **5m @ 0.18% Cu from 45m** was returned within FTAC0021 (refer Figure 1), north of the Forrest Resource. The drill hole was part of the drill line designed to initially evaluate IP Target 2, which is located 800m to the north of the Forrest Deposit. The copper mineralisation within FTAC0021 is associated with sediments of the Robinson Range Formation and remains open to the north and south for 500 metres.


Additional encouraging copper results were returned within drill holes, FTAC0037 and FTAC0038, comprising **5m @ 0.12% Cu from 55m** and **9m @ 0.11% Cu from 36m** respectively. Both copper intersections are also associated with Robinson Range Formation sediments, located 200m along strike to the south of previously returned mineralisation within drilling of **8m @ 0.20% Cu from 45m**, (WRAC182).

Significant gold results of **5m @ 0.56g/t Au from 10m** and **5m @ 0.63g/t Au from 20m** were returned within FTAC0054, located 200m south of previous Air Core drilling anomalism of **4m @ 0.49g/t Au** and **0.81% Cu** from 16m within WRAC155. The above gold anomalism is located within E52/1659 where Westgold Resources have 100% of the gold rights. The gold anomalism however remains open to the southeast along strike for approximately 1km, potentially trending into tenure (P52/1495) which AUR have an 80% interest in the gold rights.

An anomalous copper result of **6m @ 0.16% Cu from 34m** was intersected 100m to the west of WRAC155. within FTAC0051.

Results from the completed Air Core drilling highlight a new copper/gold target within the Forrest Project comprising the Robinson Range Formation sediments and their contact with the underlying Ravelstone Formation. To date the Robinson Range Formation and the associated contact with has been sporadically tested along the 11km of strike which trends through the project.

Further evaluation of the available data is now being undertaken, focusing on the prospective sediments and contact, ahead of future activities in the area.



## FEATHER CAP PROJECT

An additional five holes (DEAC0104-0108) for 481m were completed at the Durack East Gold Prospect within the Feather Cap Project, (Refer ASX Announcement 24 March 2022).

Significant results previously returned from drilling completed at Durack East in September 2021 include (see ASX releases dated 13 October 2021 and 7 February 2022):

- 8m @ 4.49g/t Au from 87m, including 2m @ 14.8g/t Au from 87m (DEAC0089)
- 5m @ 2.21g/t Au from 87m (DEAC0075)


All significant results returned from the recent Air Core drilling at the Durack East Prospect are tabulated below in Table 2.

**Table 2: Durack East Prospect Significant Air Core Drilling Intersections**

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Intersection			
				Cu (ppm)	Au (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	Pb (ppm)
DEAC0105	65	70	5	69.8	0.55	1	78
	92	93	1	154.5	0.67	4.8	88
DEAC0106	100	105	5	71.9	0.60	3.6	67
DEAC0108	30	35	5	80.5	0.18	4	7

The anomalous gold result within DEAC0105 of **5m @ 0.55g/t Au from 65m** is interpreted to be located 20m up dip of the high-grade mineralisation intersected within DEAC0089 (8m @ 4.49g/t Au from 87m, including 2m @ 14.8g/t Au from 87m), within mafic lower saprolite of the Narracoota Formation.

The significant intersection of **5m @ 0.60g/t Au from 100m** within DEAC0106 is located approximately 10m down dip from the significant intersection within DEAC0075 (5m @ 2.21g.t Au from 87m) within mafic lower saprolite of the Narracoota Formation.



**Figure 2 – Durack East Geology and Drilling**

From the drilling completed to date at the Durack East Prospect it is interpreted that the gold mineralised zones are sub vertical to steeply southerly dipping. The results from the recent RC and Air Core drilling completed by Auris has indicated that the high-grade mineralisation within the previous Air Core Drilling and historical RAB drilling are potentially a result of gold enrichment along the mineralised structures at favourable regolith boundaries.

Auris is currently considering additional infill Air Core drilling to further evaluate the mineralised trend for high-grade gold mineralisation.

-ENDS-

For and on behalf of the Board.

Mike Hendriks  
Managing Director

For Further information please contact:


Mike Hendriks  
Managing Director  
Ph: 08 6109 4333



## ABOUT AURIS MINERALS LIMITED

Auris is exploring for base metals and gold in the Bryah Basin of Western Australia. Auris has consolidated a tenement portfolio of 1,369km<sup>2</sup>, which is divided into eight well-defined project areas: Forrest, Cashman, Cheroona, Doolgunna, Morck Well, Feather Cap, Milgun and Horseshoe Well, (Figure 3).

In February 2018, Auris entered a Farm-in Agreement with Sandfire in relation to the Morck Well and Doolgunna Projects which covers ~430km<sup>2</sup> (the Morck Well JV). During September 2019, Auris entered into a Farm-in with Sandfire in relation to the Cashman Project tenements, E51/1053 and E51/1120, (the Cashman JV). On 4 February 2020 Auris and Northern Star Resources Limited (NST) entered into a Farm-in with Sandfire in relation to the Cheroona Project tenements, E51/1391, E51/1837 and E51/1838, (the Cheroona JV). Sandfire has the right to earn a 70% interest in each of above projects upon completion of a Feasibility Study on a discovery of not less than 50,000t contained copper (or metal equivalent) on the project. Auris manages exploration on all other tenements, including those that are subject to arrangements with third parties.



**Figure 3: Auris' copper-gold exploration tenement portfolio, with Sandfire (SFR), Northern Star (NST), Westgold (WGX), Fe Ltd and Gateway JV areas indicated**

### Notes:

- The Forrest Project tenements E52/1659 and E52/1671 have the following outside interests:
  - Auris 80%; Westgold Resources Ltd 20% (ASX:WGX). Westgold Resources Ltd interest is free carried until a Decision to Mine
  - Westgold Resources Ltd own the gold rights over the Auris interest.
- The Forrest Project tenement P52/1493 have the following outside interests:
  - Westgold Resources Ltd own the gold rights over the Auris interest.
- The Forrest Project tenements P52/1494-1496 have the following outside interests:
  - Auris 80%; Fe Ltd 20% (ASX:FEL). Fe Ltd interest is free carried until a Decision to Mine
- The Cheroona Project tenements E51/1391, E51/1837-38 have the following outside interests:
  - Auris 70%; Northern Star Resources Ltd 30% (ASX:NST)
- The Horseshoe Well Project tenement E52/3291 has the following outside interests:
  - Auris 85%; Gateway Projects WA Pty Ltd (formerly OMNI Projects Pty Ltd) 15% (Gateway Projects free carried until a Decision to Mine)
- The Milgun Project tenement E52/3248 has the following outside interests:
  - Auris 85%; Gateway Projects WA Pty Ltd (formerly OMNI Projects Pty Ltd) 15% (Gateway Projects free carried until a Decision to Mine)
- The Morck Well Project tenements E51/1033, E52/1613 and E52/1672 have the following outside interests:
  - Auris 80%; Fe Ltd 20% (ASX:FEL). Fe Ltd interest is free carried until a Decision to Mine

### **Competent Person's Statement**

Information in this announcement that relates to exploration results is based on and fairly represents information and supporting documentation prepared and compiled by Mr Matthew Svensson, who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Svensson is Exploration Manager for Auris Minerals Limited. Mr Svensson has sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person, as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Svensson consents to the inclusion in the announcement of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

### **No New Information**

Except where explicitly stated, this announcement contains references to prior exploration results and Mineral Resource estimates, all of which have been cross-referenced to previous market announcements made by the Company. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the relevant market announcements and, in the case of estimates of Mineral Resources that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the results and/or estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed.

### **Forward Looking Statements**

This announcement has been prepared by Auris Minerals Limited. This document contains background information about Auris Minerals Limited and its related entities current at the date of this announcement. This is in summary form and does not purport to be all inclusive or complete. Recipients should conduct their own investigations and perform their own analysis in order to satisfy themselves as to the accuracy and completeness of the information, statements and opinions contained in this announcement. This announcement is for information purposes only. Neither this document nor the information contained in it constitutes an offer, invitation, solicitation or recommendation in relation to the purchase or sale of shares in any jurisdiction.

This announcement may not be distributed in any jurisdiction except in accordance with the legal requirements applicable in such jurisdiction. Recipients should inform themselves of the restrictions that apply in their own jurisdiction. A failure to do so may result in a violation of securities laws in such jurisdiction. This document does not constitute investment advice and has been prepared without taking into account the recipient's investment objectives, financial circumstances or particular needs and the opinions and recommendations in this representation are not intended to represent recommendations of particular investments to particular investments to particular persons. Recipients should seek professional advice when deciding if an investment is appropriate. All securities transactions involve risks, which include (among others) the risk of adverse or unanticipated market, financial or political developments.

No responsibility for any errors or omissions from this document arising out of negligence or otherwise is accepted. This document does include forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are only predictions and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions which are outside the control of Auris Minerals Limited. Actual values, results, outcomes or events may be materially different to those expressed or implied in this announcement. Given these uncertainties, recipients are cautioned not to place reliance on forward-looking statements.

Any forward-looking statements in this announcement speak only at the date of issue of this announcement. Subject to any continuing obligations under applicable law and ASX Listing Rules, Auris Minerals Limited does not undertake any obligation to update or revise any information or any of the forward-looking statements in this document or any changes in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such forward-looking statement is based.

# JORC Code, 2012 Edition, Table 1

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A geologist is always on hand to supervise all drilling.</li> <li>All drill samples are collected and logged at 1m intervals</li> <li>Samples are 5m composites, collected by spear technique. Selected 1m spear samples are collected in lieu of composite sample based on the intersection of significant veining, geology and/or mineralisation.</li> <li>Standard sampling protocols/procedures have been written to ensure all sampling is done properly and consistently.</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All holes drill via Air Core Blade (Diameter 85-87mm) to refusal. Air Core hammer utilized to get through hard bands in weathering profile or to extend holes pass blade refusal.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any drill sample loss is recorded in sample table.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All holes have been logged for lithology, weathering, alteration, mineralisation and colour using a standard set of in-house logging codes. The logging method is quantitative.</li> <li>Holes not able to be used with a mineral resource estimate due to sample type.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples are 5m composites, collected by spear technique. Selected 1m spear samples are collected in lieu of composite sample based on the intersection of significant veining, geology and/or mineralisation.</li> <li>Samples submitted to the ALS laboratory in Perth are oven dried and pulverised until a minimum of 90% passes -75µm, prior to analysis</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All samples are submitted to the ALS Laboratory in Perth for gold and a comprehensive multi-element analysis by ICP-MS (AuME-TL44 - Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag, As, Fe, S, Sb, Bi, Mo, Re, Mn, Co, Cd, Cr, Ni, Se, Te, Ti, Zr, V, Sn, W and Ba) after a aqua regia digest. These are appropriate methods of analysis/assay for VMS and orogenic gold-type mineralisation in the weathering environment.</li> <li>Quality control samples include certified reference materials (CRMs) or standards (of an appropriate low level of contained copper and gold), sourced from OREAS, quartz sand used as a blank, and field duplicate samples. At least one QC sample is added every 20 samples in a batch.</li> </ul>
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All logs and analytical data reports are validated and reviewed by the database managers prior to import. Significant intercepts are verified by other geologists within Auris.</li> <li>If adjustments or amendments are ever necessary, the original data are preserved in the database.</li> <li>No holes have been twinned.</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All holes are located prior to drilling via GPS with an estimated accuracy of <math>\pm 5</math> metres.</li> <li>Grid is Map Grid of Australia Zone 50.</li> <li>Nominal value attributed to RL. DTM will be used to determine more accurate RL prior to loading data into database.</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drilling was either completed on targeted drill lines (IP Targets) or infill drilling to a 200m line spacing (previous AC follow up).</li> <li>Holes spacing along the drill lines is predominantly 100m however infill to 25m or 50m was undertaken if anomalous geology or pXRF readings were encountered.</li> <li>Results not appropriate for use in Resource or Reserve estimations.</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is interpreted that the drilling has been completed at an angle (45°) to the interpreted steeply dipping lithological contacts.</li> <li>Further results and drilling are required in order to determine the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate security measures are taken to ensure the chain of custody between drill rig and laboratory. Samples are stored on-site</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		until they are transported to the laboratory by a licensed freight company (Toll), a designated contractor or an Auris employee. All samples are securely packed into bulker bags and sealed prior to transport.
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other geologists and experts are consulted, as required, from time to time</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Feather Cap Project is located 95 kilometres north of Meekatharra in WA and includes tenements E52/1910.</li> <li>Auris has a 100% interest in all tenements which make up the Feather Cap Project.</li> <li>The Forrest Project includes tenements E52/1659, E52/1671. Both E52/1659 and E52/1671 fall under an agreement Westgold Resources Limited ("WGX"); whereby WGX own all gold rights and 20% free carried until a decision to mine for all copper rights.</li> <li>There are no issues present relating to the security of the above tenements.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous exploration at the Feather Cap Project has comprised surface geochemistry and RAB drilling completed by Plutonic, North Ltd and Geopeko and predominantly orientated towards gold.</li> <li>Various parties have explored and/or mined in the Bryah Basin (including Homestake Australia, Cyprus Gold, Dominion Mining, Mines &amp; Resources Australia, Perilya and Montezuma Mining). Prior to the De Grussa Cu-Au discovery in 2009, the exploration target was almost exclusively gold. PepinNini Minerals (PML) farmed into some tenements to secure iron ore rights.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Feather Cap and Forrest Projects lie within the Proterozoic-aged Bryah rift basin enclosed between the Archaean Marymia Inlier to the north and the Proterozoic Yerrida basin to the south.</li> <li>The exploration targets in the Projects are Volcanogenic Massive Sulphide (VMS) deposits and orogenic gold deposits.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All Collar coordinates for the completed drilling are included in a previous announcement (24 March 2022) and reference made to announcement within text of announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The following lower grade cut-offs were applied to generate significant drill intercepts <p>Copper (Cu) = 0.1%</p> <p>Gold (Au) = 0.1g/t</p> </li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All calculated significant intercepts are at least two metres downhole width and have a gram x metre value no less than 0.5.</li> <li>A maximum width of 2m of internal dilution may apply to some intercepts.</li> </ul>
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The relationship between down hole width and true width of intersected mineralisation is unknown.</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Relevant diagrams have been included within the main body of the announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced Reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No down hole surveying of the drilling was undertaken.</li> <li>Drill collars are located with a handheld GPS unit with an applied error of up to 5 metres.</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples - size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No other exploration data reported.</li> </ul>
<b>Further work</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Infill Air Core drilling to further evaluate/extent identified gold mineralisation/trends.</li> </ul>